



# Representations from Submission Draft of NCC Core Strategy by Chapter

12 September 2008

18:37:23

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
Chapter				
	20/155/-/-/-/-	Environment Agency Northumbria	No comments	Sound
Chapter 1				
	19/125/-/1.2/1/-	English Heritage	Suggest Council adopts approach suggested by new PPS12 (Para 4.34, 4.35) and uses a shared sustainability appraisal (SA). Sustainable Community Strategy requires a SA to confirm its sustainability	Sound
	26/179/-/-/1/-	Labour Party	Difficult to see how the consultation process can have been meaningful as many specific interests are still being finalised	Sound
	4/31/-/-/1/4A	Atlantic Pictures	Does not meet PPS 12 criteria on effective community involvement or those in relation to the Sustainable Community Strategy and Local Area Agreement.	Not Sound
	4/32/-/-/1/4B	Atlantic Pictures	Does not meet PPS 12 criteria on effective community involvement or those in relation to the Sustainable Community Strategy and Local Area Agreement.	Not Sound
	4/33/-/-/1/5	Atlantic Pictures	Does not meet PPS 12 criteria on effective community involvement or those in relation to the Sustainable Community Strategy and Local Area Agreement.	Not Sound
	4/34/-/-/1/6	Atlantic Pictures	Does not meet PPS 12 criteria on effective community involvement or those in relation to the Sustainable Community Strategy and Local Area Agreement.	Not Sound
	4/35/-/-/1/7	Atlantic Pictures	Does not meet PPS 12 criteria on effective community involvement or those in relation to the Sustainable Community Strategy and Local Area Agreement.	Not Sound
	4/36/-/-/1/2B,	Atlantic Pictures	Does not meet PPS 12 criteria on effective community involvement or those in relation to the Sustainable Community Strategy and Local Area Agreement.	Not Sound
Chapter 10				
	12/93/-/10.6/10/-	British Horse Society	Request the addition of equestrian strategy at point 5 "Rights of Way Improvement Plan and Equestrian Strategy"	Sound
	17/72/CS19/-/10/-	CPRE Newcastle Group	Question need for other river Tyne crossing, other than cycling (Policy CS19); Park & Ride fails to refer to the unused facility at NGP	Sound
	17/73/CS20/-/10/-	CPRE Newcastle Group	Transport: Endorse policy CS20	Sound
	17/74/CS21/-/10/-	CPRE Newcastle Group	Transport: Endorse policy CS21;	Sound
	18/118/-/10.14/10/-	Durham County Council	No comments	Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	18/119/-/10.5/10/-	Durham County Council	No comments	Sound
	24/174/CS19/-/10/7	Highways Agency	Generally supportive of investing in transport improvements. Concerned that the lack of deliverability of this policy could make the Core Strategy unsound. Seek for reassurance that significant development is not progress until impacts and possible mitigation measures on the strategic road network are agreed with the Agency	Not Sound
	24/175/CS20/-/10/7	Highways Agency	Generally supportive. Reference to Transport Assessments is required, suggest the need to address the strategic importance of these through introducing an SPD Request to amend either policy or a supportive paragraph to: "Development proposals for major development that generate significant additional journeys will require Travel Plans and Transport Assessments which meet the requirements of Circular 02/2007 ' Planning and the Strategic Road Network' and the joint DfT and DCLG's Guidance on Transport Assessments (March 2007), to demonstrate that the Strategic Road Network will be no worse off as a result of the proposed development"	Not Sound
	27/182/-/10.6/10/7	Living Streets	Commitment to create a walking strategy for Newcastle would significantly improve the DPD. Its current absence suggests the DPDs failure to give true priority to pedestrians, In order to achieve sustainable transport policy , a walking strategy needs to be in place which is consistent with Local Area Agreement and the regional Health and Wellbeing Strategy ' Better Health, Fairer Health'.	Not Sound
	27/185/CS21/-/10/7	Living Streets	Policy CS21 criterion C should include reference to walking, In order to make the DPD sound, walking should be included as a sustainable mode of travel, consistent with national and regional strategic texts.	Not Sound
	27/186/CS21/-/10/9	Living Streets	Policy CS21 criterion C should include reference to walking, In order to make the DPD sound, walking should be included as a sustainable mode of travel, consistent with national and regional strategic texts.	Not Sound
	30/237/CS21/-/10/4B	Natural England	Policy bullet point c- should include walking	Not Sound
	32/242/CS19/-/10/-	Newcastle Community Empowerment Network	Policy CS19 Plans are needed to be developed to better connect the west of the city	Sound
	33/246/-/10.14/10/7	Newcastle Great Park Consortium	Notes the Council will seek to provide access in a more sustainable way to access major development sites. As the Core Strategy notes, NGP has the benefit of extant planning permission and s106 legal agreement and as such set down the measures which are to be implemented to enhance accessibility.	Not Sound
	33/249/CS19/-/10/7	Newcastle Great Park Consortium	Welcomes under criteria C) the identification of a study to assess the need for further actions including infrastructure works from Gosforth Park/ Great Park/ A696 (inc, airport)	Not Sound
	34/264/CS19/-/10/-	Newcastle International Airport Ltd	Welcome commitment to improve public transport access to the airport Concern over benefits of a new road linking Great Park to the south side of the airport.__Support upgrading of the metroline an stations	Sound
	34/270/-/10.2/10/-	Newcastle International Airport Ltd	Welcomes recognition that Newcastle acts as a regional air hub. Comments highlight need for specific reference for transport polices to support growth of the airport within the plan period.	Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	34/271/-/10.14/10/-	Newcastle International Airport Ltd	Seeking alternatives to car use is an overly simplistic approach. LDF must seek a shift away from those modes of access that generate the most car journeys- such as drop off/pick up activity. LDF should recognise the need of partnership funding to deliver transport improvements Recognition is needed that car parking is a major source of income for the airport	Sound
	36/277/CS19/-/10/8	Newcastle University	The policy lacks a mechanism for delivery. The studies mentioned should lead to proposals for infrastructure works and programming of delivery rather than the need for such works.	Not Sound
	36/278/CS20/-/10/8	Newcastle University	The policy lacks a mechanism for delivery because it is not clear as to what is to be delivered. The University, as a major landowner and employer, has a travel plan and needs the support of firm plans for travel alternatives to be put in place by the Council. A coordinated programme needs to be put in place.	Not Sound
	36/280/-/10.5/10/8	Newcastle University	The policy to which this relates lacks a clear mechanism for delivery of the transport strategy. There should be more emphasis on the Council leading and less on partnership working.	Not Sound
	37/293/-/10.11/10/7	Newcastle University Union Society	The paragraph deals with minor highway works, but where there is a high number of pedestrians, often late at night, that are not in 'deprived wards'. In particular, areas of high student numbers where improved lighting and security of pedestrians and cyclists is paramount.	Not Sound
	38/296/CS20/-/10/-	Nexus	Support for the development of a sustainable car parking strategy, however, the provision of park and ride needs to be planned in tandem with city centre parking control measures. Currently the wording suggests policies that are exclusive of one another when they should be linked.	Sound
	38/297/-/10.12/10/-	Nexus	Paragraph 10.12 Strengthen needs to be given to the third sentence in this paragraph, as these modes should be given priority at all times.	Sound
	38/298/-/10.5/10/-	Nexus	Paragraph 10.5 Suggests a rewording of bullet point three to include all employers, to be required to develop travel plans, to make them 'more palatable to employers.	Sound
	51/372/CS20/-/10/-	Royal Mail Group plc	Policy CS20 should include reference to the needs of emergency and service providers to be considered in the design of all related schemes.	Sound
	51/374/-/10.9/10/-	Royal Mail Group plc	Welcome on paragraph 10.9.	Sound
	51/375/-/10/-	Royal Mail Group plc	Request recognition if the importance of Royal Mail to the City's infrastructure and ensure that proposed Green Travel does not negative impact and inhibit Royal Mail commercial activities.	Sound
	53/410/CS20/-/10/-	St Andrew's Church	Generally support	Sound
	53/417/CS19/-/10/6	St Andrew's Church	Support the proposal to progress internal circulation and access for all through the AAP. Although this appears contrary to Policy CS22, request for clarification on the Council's position regarding infrastructure requirements. Comment that future proposes will have to confirm to national, regional and local requirements. Where changes are proposed, alternative options must be fully considered and justification on non implementation required.	Not Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	53/418/CS21/-/10/7	St Andrew's Church	Although consistent with PPS <sub>3</sub> and RSS proposed changes, it is considered appropriate to state within the policy that air quality will be consideration within development control decisions.	Not Sound
	54/403/CS20/10.15/10/6	Tesco Stores Limited	Fully support recognition of need to balance promotion of sustainable transport choices with need for economic growth and regeneration but this should be overall aim and not limited to development of car parking strategies. An additional sentence should be inserted following the first sentence: 'The promotion of sustainable transport choices needs to be balanced with the needs for economic growth and regeneration'	Not Sound
	55/384/CS19/-/10/-	The Summerhill Society	Objected to the 'West Central Route' and concerns have been borne out in practice. The boulevard is now a barrier to movement east-west and contributes to air pollution and congestion. We cannot think that works at Redheugh Bridge Head will make much difference. Any studies into this and other aspects of transportation should look at safety, capacity, pedestrian convenience and amenity issues right along the boulevard.	Sound
	55/385/CS20/-/10/-	The Summerhill Society	(a)We support in principle reduced reliance on the private car. But it should not lead to a loss of historic paving components. (b)The strategy is supported, but we feel that a greater degree of dispersal of development would ease problems in the central areas. Whatever the outcome, areas such as Summerhill must continue to be protected from the impacts of extraneous parking demand. (e)We have strong reservations about congestion charging.	Sound
	55/397/CS21/-/10/-	The Summerhill Society	We note that in the short term at least policies for intensification of new development will lead to reductions in air quality, at least in the short term.	Sound
	57/430/CS17/-/10/4A	Tyne and Wear Local Access Forum	Add "and informal recreation" after Outdoor Sport	Not Sound
	57/431/-/10.6/10/4A	Tyne and Wear Local Access Forum	Add "Tyne and Wear Equestrian Access Strategy"	Not Sound
	57/470/-/10.8/10/4a	Tyne and Wear Local Access Forum	Concern that Horse Riders area not considered road users, reference required in the hierarchy of road users proposed	Not sound
	58/420/-/10.2/10/-	Tyne and Wear Passenger Transport Authority	Comments recommend the strengthening the emphasis given to rail, in particular the role of the Metro for sub regional access. Need to highlight better integration at Newcastle Central Station with buses. Comments suggest the value in mentioning the need for High Speed Rail in this paragraph.	Sound
	58/421/CS19/-/10/-	Tyne and Wear Passenger Transport Authority	Policy CS19 Supported: Highlights the need for improvements for pedestrians and bus users on Scotswood and Redheugh Bridgeheads	Sound
	58/422/CS20/-/10/-	Tyne and Wear Passenger Transport Authority	Policy CS20 Highlights the need for the provision of park and ride to be linked and planned within parting control measures in the city centre.	Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	58/423/CS21/-/10/-	Tyne and Wear Passenger Transport Authority	Policy CS21 (C) suggest the inclusion of walking on the road users hierarchy	Sound
	58/424/-/10.12/10/-	Tyne and Wear Passenger Transport Authority	Paragraph 10.12 Clarity is requested to the definition of 'non-essential traffic', considered that public transport, cycling and walking should be given priority at all times within the city centre.	Sound
	58/425/-/10.15/10/-	Tyne and Wear Passenger Transport Authority	Paragraph 10.15 Suggested rewording of TIF studies in light if new development, as well as adding reference to the emerging Multi Area Agreement.	Sound
	58/426/-/10.5/10/-	Tyne and Wear Passenger Transport Authority	Paragraph 10.5 Network Rail should be consider to be added to the list of those who to work in partnership with. In addition to this paragraph 'travel plans should be developed by all employers for key employment sites'	Sound
	8/53/CS19/-/10/9	Barnard	Policy is too ambitious in the scope of this plan, seeking two Tyne Crossings (west Newcastle from Benwell to Newburn and a pedestrian-cycle bridge from Newburn Riverside to Blaydon).	Not Sound
	8/54/CS21/-/10/7	Barnard	Policy doesn't take appropriate consideration of environmental matters such as traffic noise and air pollution by further development in the city centre area. Making any traffic thoroughfare through this area brings it into conflict with the historic residential development of St Thomas's Crescent/St Thomas' Street creating an inappropriate conflict with the residential/retail user of the area and having a detrimental effect.	Not Sound
Chapter 11				
	30/204/CS22/-/11/4B	Natural England	The policy should include provisions for contributions to protect and enhance the environment, including access and recreation , as referred to in PPG17 and PPS9	Not Sound
	33/250/CS22/-/11/7	Newcastle Great Park Consortium	Seek clarification and recognition that, at the time of allocation, it may not always be known what the precise impacts of development are known nor the mitigation programmed, this will be finalised at the detailed planning stage,	Not Sound
	36/289/CS22/-/11/7	Newcastle University	Inappropriate to impose a tariff for planning obligations indiscriminately across all types of organisation. The policy should be replaced to clarify that a tariff approach will not be imposed on not for profit organisations. The policy should say that s	Not Sound
	43/318/CS22/-/11/4B	Northumberland Tyne and Wear NHS Trust	Policy CS22does not make it clear it conformity with Circ.05/05 annex B, paragraph B5 part iii Policy should be flexible to ensure that 'tariffs' do not apply to organisations that generate development but of non commercial value.	Not Sound
	45/332/CS22/-/11/-	Northumbrian Water Ltd	NWL would support paragraph 11.6 with particularly referencing to the Core Strategy role in underpinning the provision of social, economic and environmental infrastructure. Representation highlights the crucial need to upgrade infrastructure in line with new development. Noting that infrastructure is not bound To physical facilitates but also social and economic. NWL also welcome contributing to the non-statutory infrastructure plan, (proposed in CS22)	Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	53/419/CS22/-/11/4	St Andrew's Church	The policy should contain reference to Circ.05/05 Respondent suggest the policy's first sentence should be reworded and then the second sentence be omitted. The representation states that it is inappropriate to bring properly evidenced infrastructure proposals forward a non-statutory DPD, they should form part of the development plan document to enable their Examination in Public, suggest these proposals come forth in the City Centre AAP.	Not Sound
	54/408/CS22/11.6/1 1/4	Tesco Stores Limited	Welcome recognition of impact of potential developer contributions on scheme viability. Any request for contributions should be made in the context of national policy and guidance and following text should be added: 'Any requirement for developer contributions should satisfy the tests set out in Circular 05/2005: planning Obligations or any subsequent guidance that may supersede this'	Not Sound
	59/432/CS22/-/11/4	University of Northumbria	Policy should clarify that contributions will be sought where development relates to infrastructure plan provisions as per Circular 05/05 Suggest: The Council will prepare an infrastructure plan for the City Centre within the Area Action Plan, to support the development proposals set out in the local development Framework. Omit second sentence. First sentence second 'It may be necessary help meet such needs, where they relate directly to the proposed scheme of development. Any future revisions to the method of delivery of developer contributions will be incorporated into this policy as necessary. Tariffs should not apply to organisations that generate development that does not generate commercial value (eg. Higher education; NHS). Evidenced infrastructure proposals should no be brought forward in SPD, as they should be subject to Examination in Public (PPS12)	Not Sound
	9/74/CS22/-/11/7	Barratt Newcastle Ltd	Second sentence of policy should recognise that, ahead of the detailed planning stage, it may not be known what the precise impacts of development are, nor the mitigation programmed.	Not Sound
Chapter 12	17/76/-/12/-	CPRE Newcastle Group	Implementation: Stresses the need for effective implementation.	Sound
	3/19/-/12/8	Ashdale Land and Property Co Ltd	More information required as to the actual measures and mechanisms to be used to monitor the Core Strategy. Delivery of housing provision within the City should be closely monitored. Where AAP areas are not delivering the housing numbers planned, the Core Strategy should include requirements so that the target for the rest of the City is increased to ensure no shortfall in overall housing provision results.	Not Sound
	60/449/-/12/8	Waitrose Ltd	Little information as to the actual measures and mechanisms to be used to monitor the successfulness of the Core Strategy. Consider that its particularly important that the delivery of housing provision is monitored on an annual basis.	Not Sound
Chapter 2	19/141/-/2.4/2/4B	English Heritage	Character & local distinctiveness where negative, need to improve.	Sound
	19/142/-/2.4/2/4C	English Heritage	Character & local distinctiveness where negative, need to improve.	Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
Chapter 3	35/275/-/3/2/-	Newcastle Partnership	Comments submitted with the intension to positively reinforce the important relationship between the Sustainable Community Strategy, the Local Area Agreement and the Core Strategy. Representation highlights opportunity to incorporate the reviewed and newly approved Local Area Agreement with this background, without comprising current evidence base used in the development of the Core Strategy.	Sound
	21/156/-/3.2/3/9	Gladedale (Newcastle) Ltd	Noted that housing figures published in the Core Strategy are in accordance with Further Changes Proposed RSS.	Not Sound
	32/243/-/3.8/3/-	Newcastle Community Empowerment Network	Overall welcome document. Concern raised over alignment with the Local Strategic partnership and the latest draft of the Sustainable Community Strategy. Highlights the need for the Statement of Community involvement to be revised in line with the forthcoming proposals for the voluntary and community sectors involvement in the local strategic partnership as well as the developing neighbourhood charters	Sound
	39/192/-/3/-	NHS North of Tyne	Welcomes and supports the vision and strategic objectives laid down in the document. Suggestions for closer working between the Council and the NHS North of Tyne, as well as to consider producing an SPD on the requirements of Health Impact Assessments (HIA). Figure 2 (page 11): Suggests link is provided to the Health Improvement Strategy, on left (either below or above) 'City Region plans and strategies' Acknowledged that the Core Strategy has not be subject to a HIA is required, the document should state intension to incorporate HIAs in the subsequent LDF processes.	Sound
	4/27/-/3/4B	Atlantic Pictures	Need to incorporate the City Region Plans and The Northern Way.	Not Sound
	4/28/-/3/5	Atlantic Pictures	Need to incorporate the City Region Plans and The Northern Way.	Not Sound
	4/29/-/3/6	Atlantic Pictures	Need to incorporate the City Region Plans and The Northern Way.	Not Sound
	4/30/-/3/7	Atlantic Pictures	Need to incorporate the City Region Plans and The Northern Way.	Not Sound
	4/43/-/3/2B	Atlantic Pictures	Need to incorporate the City Region Plans and The Northern Way.	Not Sound
4/44/-/3/4A	Atlantic Pictures	Need to incorporate the City Region Plans and The Northern Way.	Not Sound	
42/315/-/3.2/3/4C	Northumberland County Council	Acknowledges that RSS Proposed Changes were utilised at time of drafting CS, but suggest that CS needs to be updated in line with adopted RSS	Not Sound	
60/450/-/3.2/3/9	Waitrose Ltd	Noted that housing figures published in the Core Strategy are in accordance with Further Changes Proposed RSS.	Not Sound	
Chapter 4				

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	1/1/CS1/-/4/-	ADM Milling	Support for: location of development on previously-developed land in sustainable locations; priority for new housing as part of regeneration of the Ouseburn; small-scale more sophisticated employment as part of mixed use. However the extent of the Ouseburn Regeneration Area should be identified on a plan, perhaps based on the Regeneration Strategy for the Lower Ouseburn Valley.	Sound
	11/77/CS1/-/4/-	Bellway Homes (North East) Ltd	Support continued focus on existing urban areas for development activity. Important role of key previously-developed sites such as the former Calders site in achieving this objective and delivery of this site should be considered a priority.	Sound
	11/78/CS1/-/4/9	Bellway Homes (North East) Ltd	Support continued focus on existing urban areas for development activity. Important role of key previously-developed sites such as the former Calders site in achieving this objective and delivery of this site should be considered a priority.	Not Sound
	12/94/-/4/-	British Horse Society	Request that recreation is included to the sentence "... Residents will enjoy equal chances in employment, education, housing, and health".	Sound
	14/88/CS1/-/4/4B	Camtec Properties Limited	Request for reference to PPG 2 in point g,	Not Sound
	17/84/CS1/-/4/-	CPRE Newcastle Group	Green Belt: Support the retention of the Greenbelt (Policy CS1 (g)); supports the need to avoid over development (Para 4.5) and are concerned about the loss of open space (Para 9.6)	Sound
	19/126/-/4.1/4/4B	English Heritage	Does regeneration vision apply to Core Strategy Suggest change "in line with" in the vision to "while respecting"	Sound
	19/127/-/4.3/4/4B	English Heritage	PPS12 requires us to protect the environment for its own sake, but it is also important to value contribution to economic benefits CS lacks policies to deliver the strategic environmental objectives, especially on heritage; Policy CS14 is not adequate in this regard.	Not Sound
	19/128/-/4.7/4/Support	English Heritage	Support	Sound
	19/143/-/4.10/4/4C	English Heritage	Needs to refer to the role of local heritage	Sound
	19/144/-/4.3/4/4C	English Heritage	PPS12 requires us to protect the environment for its own sake, but it is also important to value contribution to economic benefits CS lacks policies to deliver the strategic environmental objectives, especially on heritage; Policy CS14 is not adequate in this regard.	Not Sound
	19/377/-/4.3/4/-	English Heritage	Welcome and acknowledge the equal importance of each of the Strategic Objectives of the Core Strategy	Support
	21/158/CS1/-/4/9	Gladedale (Newcastle) Ltd	CS1 is not sufficiently flexible as it does not recognise the need for future residential development in locations outside of the Pathfinder areas and the main city centre. The policy should also recognise the benefits of greenfield release in suitable locations which may stimulate activity within a particular housing market.	Not Sound
	24/176/-/4.1/4/7	Highways Agency	No reference to sustainable transport or accessibility	Not Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	24/177/-/4.3/4/7	Highways Agency	Need to recognise the objectives of CS20 in the strategic objectives for the whole of the Core Strategy. Last objective should refer to good 'sustainable' access.	Not Sound
	27/181/CS1/-/4/7	Living Streets	Living Streets welcome the DPD's commitment to the protection and enhancement of greenspaces, in relation to the value for wellbeing and health. In relation to promoting less environmentally damaging forms of travel- more detail is required, there appears to be an assumption that cycling is preferred over walking. Newcastle is one of the pioneer 'Fitter for Walking' areas in the North East and as such Living Streets considers it a missed opportunity for the DPD not to pioneer the creation of excellent local walking networks.	Not Sound
	27/183/CS1/-/4/7	Living Streets	Living Streets welcome the DPD's commitment to the protection and enhancement of greenspaces, in relation to the value for wellbeing and health. In relation to promoting less environmentally damaging forms of travel- more detail is required, there appears to be an assumption that cycling is preferred over walking. Newcastle is one of the pioneer 'Fitter for Walking' areas in the North East and as such Living Streets considers it a missed opportunity for the DPD not to pioneer the creation of excellent local walking networks.	Not Sound
	27/184/CS1/-/4/9	Living Streets	Supports main intentions of the core strategy. To be considered sound, a people friendly approach to spatial planning (as per guidance in the World Health Organisation's Checklist for Age Friendly Cities and Streets for North East People) needs to be adopted into the document.	Not Sound
	27/187/CS1/-/4/9	Living Streets	Living Streets welcome the DPD's commitment to the protection and enhancement of greenspaces, in relation to the value for wellbeing and health. In relation to promoting less environmentally damaging forms of travel- more detail is required, there appears to be an assumption that cycling is preferred over walking. Newcastle is one of the pioneer 'Fitter for Walking' areas in the North East and as such Living Streets considers it a missed opportunity for the DPD not to pioneer the creation of excellent local walking networks.	Not Sound
	3/15/-/4.1/4/4B	Ashdale Land and Property Co Ltd	Object to the length of the Core Strategy, and consider that it should be extended.	Not Sound
	3/16/-/4.5/4/7	Ashdale Land and Property Co Ltd	Object to the reference to 'committed' greenfield sites as this could be interpreted to imply that further greenfield allocations are not required. Consider that the Core Strategy should provide scope for additional greenfield sites to come forward for development, where this is acceptable and appropriate in respect of other planning policy considerations.	Not Sound
	3/17/-/4/8	Ashdale Land and Property Co Ltd	Suggest the following strategic objective be included "Providing sufficient land for residential development to meet the strategic housing requirements set by Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS)".	Not Sound
	3/18/-/4/7	Ashdale Land and Property Co Ltd	Consider that the Vision should refer to the important aim of building strong sustainable housing markets that provide a full range of housing to meet all the needs of Newcastle. Object to the exclusion of this statement in the Submission Draft.	Not Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	3/8/CS1/-/4/7	Ashdale Land and Property Co Ltd	Object to element (g). Consider that Policy CS1 as drafted in the Submission Document does not fairly recognise or provide the enabling framework for localised Green Belt reviews. Suggest revision to read (g) The Green Belt around Newcastle will largely remain unchanged. However, localised reviews will be undertaken through the Land Allocations Development Plan Document (DPD) and sites will be released from the Green Belt where such sites can be demonstrated to no longer fulfil the Green Belt tests.	Not Sound
	30/199/CS1/-/4/4B	Natural England	CS1 h)- Named areas in the policy should form the 'core' of the Strategic Green Infrastructure network. With the Green Infrastructure Strategy proving the evidence and underpinning a viable linked network of multi-functional greenspace. Should aim to maintain networks through polices in plans. There is a need to review Tyne and Wear Conservation Strategy and Biodiversity Action Plan to form a key part of the evidence of the Strategic Green Infrastructure Network.	Not Sound
	30/200/CS1/-/4/7	Natural England	CS1 h)- Named areas in the policy should form the 'core' of the Strategic Green Infrastructure network. With the Green Infrastructure Strategy proving the evidence and underpinning a viable linked network of multi-functional greenspace. Should aim to maintain networks through polices in plans. There is a need to review Tyne and Wear Conservation Strategy and Biodiversity Action Plan to form a key part of the evidence of the Strategic Green Infrastructure Network.	Not Sound
	30/201/CS1/-/4/4B	Natural England	Argues that this spatial strategy does not address the protection and enhancement of the environment in line with PPS9- this should be addressed in a separate policy.	Not Sound
	30/202/CS1/-/4/4B	Natural England	The spatial strategy in addressing transport should also consider improvement in the provision for pedestrian and cycle travel with an effective local network of safe routes connecting with long distance routes, this would include Hadrian's way.	Not Sound
	32/244/-/4.1/4/-	Newcastle Community Empowerment Network	Paragraph 4.1 Comments suggest the need to further explain the reference to the 'importance of the environment'- _Clarification on whether this is a commitment o protecting and future developing the natural environment is requested._	Sound
	33/247/-/4.3/4/7	Newcastle Great Park Consortium	Objects to sixth bullet point regarding retail provision Strategic objectives fail to take account the role of district and neighbourhood centre in meeting the city's requirements, request to be amended to reference other tier of retail provision	Not Sound
	33/263/CS1/-/4/7	Newcastle Great Park Consortium	Welcomes recognition that the urban extension at NGP is committed and will be completed, as well as the sites regional significance. Raise no objection to the principle of prioritising development on brownfield land, however object to criteria (b) as its is considered to be a lack of evidence base to support this. Object to reference to solely convenience shopping to be supported at NGP, as it fails to reflect the NGP's role as mixed use urban extension	Not Sound
	34/266/CS1/-/4/-	Newcastle International Airport Ltd	Welcome inclusion of land at the airport, however should further reflect stance of the RSS Welcome commitment to strengthen links to regional gateways, comments highlight requirement to improve road and public transport assess to the airport within the life time of the plan.	Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	34/267/-/4.7/4/-	Newcastle International Airport Ltd	Welcomes reference to the airport as a driver for economic growth	Sound
	36/276/CS1/-/4/4C	Newcastle University	The spatial strategy does not mention the importance of achieving the strategic objectives of Science city for Newcastle's development and regeneration, although the Council is a partner and it is mentioned by name in Regional Spatial Strategy.	Not Sound
	36/281/-/4.3/4/4C	Newcastle University	The strategic objectives do not mention the importance of the knowledge economy importance nor linking to the strategic objectives of Science City.	Not Sound
	39/196/-/4.3/4/-	NHS North of Tyne	Need to include health objective relation to green spaces. Suggests and additional objective be included to ensure good access to health facilities and through good design that promotes physical activity and improve mental and emotional wellbeing.	Sound
	42/316/CS1/-/4/4C	Northumberland County Council	Supports protection of Green belt but believes CS1 (g) should be deleted from the policy. This matter should be addressed through RSS and this should be indicated within the Core Strategy.	Sound
	46/335/CS1/-/4/-	One NorthEast	Welcome intention to focus on development on previously developed land Pleased to note the recognition of the importance of creating stable and prosperous communities to address population loss __Welcome and notes reference to Ouseburn Regeneration Area	Sound
	47/342/CS1/-/4/7	O'Neil	Raise reservation that the sequential approach advocated in Policy CS1 will pass the test of soundness. Request deletion of the words ' through a sequential text' from CS1. Considered CS1 unsound due to its un-deliverability. Policy CS1- is considered inflexible for all sufficient sites to be released for development to achieve this target. Concern over CS1 (G) regarding the prevention of sites within the greenbelt to be brought forward for development. Argues the boundary should be redefined under PPG2, this would include the land owned by the client. Suggests rewording to allow flexibility	Not Sound
	47/345/CS1/-/4/9	O'Neil	Raise reservation that the sequential approach advocated in Policy CS1 will pass the test of soundness. Request deletion of the words ' through a sequential text' from CS1. Considered CS1 unsound due to its un-deliverability. Policy CS1- is considered inflexible for all sufficient sites to be released for development to achieve this target. Concern over CS1 (G) regarding the prevention of sites within the greenbelt to be brought forward for development. Argues the boundary should be redefined under PPG2, this would include the land owned by the client. Suggests rewording to allow flexibility	Not Sound
	48/351/-/4.3/4/-	ProplInvest	Support Strategic Objectives, in particular aims to "...promote a competitive and sustainable local economy", and "...expand the regional shopping function of the city centre and protect the vitality, viability of local shopping centres".	Sound
	48/352/CS1/-/4/-	ProplInvest	Supports the statement in Policy CS1 (a) that "...existing urban areas will remain the focus for development activity and new development will be concentrated as far as possible towards the centre of the city".	Sound
	48/356/CS1/-/4/-	ProplInvest	Strongly support the aspiration that all shopping, leisure, tourism and cultural attractions should be located in the city centre to ensure that the centre's viability and vitality is maintained and enhanced.	Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	49/357/CS1/-/4/-	Rosebourne Holdings Limited	Supports Policy CS1, specifically paragraphs b) and c)	Sound
	5/45/CS1/-/4/6	Azam	Request that Policy CS1 should give equal weight to the role played by the existing housing stock. Introducing this to the policy would also bring the Policy in line with Paragraph 4.6.	Not Sound
	5/46/CS1/-/4/6	Azam	Request that Policy CS1 should give equal weight to the role played by the existing housing stock. Introducing this to the policy would also bring the Policy in line with Paragraph 4.6.	Not Sound
	50/363/CS1/-/4/7	Rotherford Estates	Raise reservation that the sequential approach advocated in Policy CS1 will pass the test of soundness. Request deletion of the words 'through a sequential text' from CS1. Considered CS1 unsound due to its un-deliverability Policy CS1- is considered inflexible for all sufficient sites to be released for development to achieve this target. Concern over CS1 (G) regarding the prevention of sites within the greenbelt to be brought forward for development. Argues the boundary should be redefined under PPG2, this would include the land owned by the client. Suggests rewording to allow flexibility	Not Sound
	50/366/CS1/-/4/9	Rotherford Estates	Raise reservation that the sequential approach advocated in Policy CS1 will pass the test of soundness. Request deletion of the words 'through a sequential text' from CS1. Considered CS1 unsound due to its un-deliverability Policy CS1- is considered inflexible for all sufficient sites to be released for development to achieve this target. Concern over CS1 (G) regarding the prevention of sites within the greenbelt to be brought forward for development. Argues the boundary should be redefined under PPG2, this would include the land owned by the client. Suggests rewording to allow flexibility	Not Sound
	53/411/-/4.10/4/-	St Andrew's Church	Support as in line PPS1	Sound
	53/412/CS1/4.9/4/-	St Andrew's Church	Support the promotion of public transport and modal change to address the management of city centre traffic flows	Sound
	54/404/CS1/4.4/4/7	Tesco Stores Limited	Redevelopment of General Hospital site is likely to create significant employment opportunities. Policy CS1(d) should include a further bullet point: and at the site of Newcastle General Hospital for mixed use development. This will ensure that all relevant alternatives are included (Test 7) and provide consistency with other plans and strategies.	Not Sound
	54/405/CS1/4.4/4/-	Tesco Stores Limited	Support identification of requirement for new convenience shopping within population growth areas to encourage sustainable communities. This includes Benwell Scotswood where the Council has identified a need for a large store to improve range and quality of shopping provision, reduce expenditure leakage and support regeneration.	Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	55/387/CS1/-/4/-	The Summerhill Society	<p>(a) Not convinced by centrist approach. Dispersal has had some benefits in terms of public health. Suggest looser wording.</p> <p>(b) The word allocation is not explained fully. Suggest that city centre housing be decoupled from housing market renewal and supported in its own right subject to other policies. The Tyne Gorge Study should be referenced.</p> <p>(d) Skeptical about 'major expansion' in light of past and current experience. Not against office based development as part of phased mixed use development at a human scale. Must avoid sporadic development. We look for evidence based prediction for volume of development. We seek a less flexible and more realistic policy.</p> <p>(f) Note current valuable 'convenience' shopping close by and seek more, e.g. on Westmorland Road.</p> <p>(h) Support focusing on useful open space and taking into account burden of maintenance.</p>	Sound
	57/427/-/4.1/4/4A	Tyne and Wear Local Access Forum	Plan has inadequate regard to the Tyne and Wear Rights of Way Improvement Plan and associated documents. Suggests amendments: Add "recreation" to the vision (Para 4.1);	Not Sound
	57/428/-/4.8/4/4A	Tyne and Wear Local Access Forum	Referring to "larger parks" in Para 4.8 is too limiting, other open space also has a role to play;	Not Sound
	59/433/CS1/4.9/4/-	University of Northumbria	Support modal change, rather than major infrastructure work	Sound
	59/441/-/4.10/4/-	University of Northumbria	Support first bullet point ... makes the best use of previously developed land ... Needs to be prioritise within policy application for City Centre	Sound
	60/446/-/4/4B	Waitrose Ltd	Request that the time horizon of the Core Strategy to extend up to 2024.	Not Sound
	60/447/-/4/7	Waitrose Ltd	Recommend that the strategic objective that relates to shopping should be deleted and replaced with 'to expand the regional shopping function of the City Centre, and to protect and enhance the vitality and viability of new and existing district and local centres throughout the city'.	Not Sound
	60/448/-/4/7	Waitrose Ltd	Recommend that the Core Strategy's Vision should be amended to include the vision of improving retail provision across the City.	Not Sound
	60/451/CS1/-/4/7	Waitrose Ltd	Believes Newcastle Great Park should be identified in the Core Strategy as a District Centre.	Not Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	61/458/CS1/-/4/7	Weetslade Farms Ltd	Raise reservation that the sequential approach advocated in Policy CS1 will pass the test of soundness. Request deletion of the words 'through a sequential text' from CS1. Considered CS1 unsound due to its un-deliverability. Policy CS1- is considered inflexible for all sufficient sites to be released for development to achieve this target. Concern over CS1 (G) regarding the prevention of sites within the greenbelt to be brought forward for development. Argues the boundary should be redefined under PPG2, this would include the land owned by the client. Suggests rewording to allow flexibility	Not Sound
	61/461/CS1/-/4/9	Weetslade Farms Ltd	Raise reservation that the sequential approach advocated in Policy CS1 will pass the test of soundness. Request deletion of the words 'through a sequential text' from CS1. Considered CS1 unsound due to its un-deliverability. Policy CS1- is considered inflexible for all sufficient sites to be released for development to achieve this target. Concern over CS1 (G) regarding the prevention of sites within the greenbelt to be brought forward for development. Argues the boundary should be redefined under PPG2, this would include the land owned by the client. Suggests rewording to allow flexibility	Not Sound
	7/52/CS1/-/4/-	Barclays Bank plc	Supports the principles of the Core Strategy and acknowledges the role of LDDs. The representation highlights concern that previously the Council has not reflected the important role of financial service retailers in promoting vitality, underpinning town centres and assisting in regeneration. Barclay's Bank considers UDP polices R1.2/ R2.1 +R2.2 is restrictive and suggests a similar approach is not undertaken in the LDF, arguing that this would work against Government's objective of promoting vitality and viability in town centres. Consider that Policy CS1 should make direct reference to financial service retailers.	Sound
	8/55/-/4.10/4/6	Barnard	Support this policy but suggest the policy 'should make clear the need for separate cycle routes from pedestrian routes' . 'Needs to emphasise not only will green spaces be designated but there is an active policy of maintaining existing green and open spaces'.	Not Sound
	8/56/-/4.10/4/7	Barnard	Support this policy but suggest the policy "should make clear the need for separate cycle routes from pedestrian routes" "Needs to emphasise not only will green spaces be designated but there is an active policy of maintaining existing green and open spaces".	Not Sound
	9/59/CS1/-/4/7	Barratt Newcastle Ltd	Objection is raised to criterion (b), which gives priority for new housing as part of regeneration schemes in named areas. Objectives for housing are far broader and will not necessarily be achieved in regeneration areas alone. Core Strategy is not founded on a robust, credible evidence base and cannot be finalised in advance of preparation of both SHMA and SHLAA.	Not Sound
Chapter 5	1/2/CS3/-/5/-	ADM Milling	Support provision for 18,000 new homes 2004-21 and that majority of housing is to be directed to 'Rest of City' , including Ouseburn. Full consideration should be given to Tyne Mill site and its contribution to the Ouseburn Regeneration Area.	Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	1/3/CS4/-/5/9	ADM Milling	It is unrealistic to require provision of family houses on sites where flatted development is more appropriate due to physical or viability constraints. Policy should define 'suitable sites' for larger houses and provide for exceptions on the basis of site constraints and viability.	Not Sound
	1/4/CS8/-/5/-	ADM Milling	Support target of 80% of all new housing on brownfield sites and consideration of densities on a site specific basis.	Sound
	11/79/CS3/-/5/4C	Bellway Homes (North East) Ltd	Council cannot at this time show a five-year supply of deliverable sites, as required by para.54 of PPS3, and should take positive management action to address the issue.	Not Sound
	11/80/CS3/-/5/7	Bellway Homes (North East) Ltd	Council cannot at this time show a five-year supply of deliverable sites, as required by para.54 of PPS3, and should take positive management action to address the issue.	Not Sound
	11/81/CS3/-/5/4C	Bellway Homes (North East) Ltd	Although the total number of net additions proposed in the period 2004-21 is supported, the reasoned justification should specifically note that the net addition figure is a minimum and not a ceiling.	Not Sound
	11/82/CS3/-/5/7	Bellway Homes (North East) Ltd	Although the total number of net additions proposed in the period 2004-21 is supported, the reasoned justification should specifically note that the net addition figure is a minimum and not a ceiling.	Not Sound
	11/83/CS3/-/5/4C	Bellway Homes (North East) Ltd	Phasing of gross completions, demolitions and net additions should be justified and deliverable (as assessed through a robust SHLAA).	Not Sound
	11/84/CS3/-/5/7	Bellway Homes (North East) Ltd	Phasing of gross completions, demolitions and net additions should be justified and deliverable (as assessed through a robust SHLAA).	Not Sound
	11/85/CS3/-/5/4C	Bellway Homes (North East) Ltd	Policy should plan for housing provision post-2021, as required by emerging RSS	Not Sound
	11/86/CS4/-/5/7	Bellway Homes (North East) Ltd	Confirmation sought that, in Table 2, the figures for the City Centre AAP apply to former Calders site. Numbers in Table 2 have to be justified and deliverable.	Not Sound
	11/87/CS4/-/5/7	Bellway Homes (North East) Ltd	Over the Plan period flats have an important role, especially on sites such as Calders. The Riverside area should be included as a location where flatted and high density schemes are most likely to be provided.	Not Sound
	11/88/CS5/-/5/4B	Bellway Homes (North East) Ltd	Site size threshold for affordable housing is lower than set out in PPS3 and it is not apparent that the Council has undertaken an informed viability assessment of the proposed threshold.	Not Sound
	11/89/CS5/-/5/7	Bellway Homes (North East) Ltd	Site size threshold for affordable housing is lower than set out in PPS3 and it is not apparent that the Council has undertaken an informed viability assessment of the proposed threshold.	Not Sound
	11/90/CS5/-/5/7	Bellway Homes (North East) Ltd	Council proposes to prepare a SPD to develop its affordable housing policy, however such detail should be included within a DPP, which is subject to independent scrutiny, given potential impact on developments and their viability.	Not Sound
	11/91/CS8/-/5/-	Bellway Homes (North East) Ltd	Support target for percentage of new homes on previously-developed land, subject to achievement of housing delivery. Development of Calders site would make a positive contribution and should be encouraged. Support for pragmatic and flexible housing densities.	Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	16/117/CS5/-/5/7	Cousins, Jim MP	Targets for affordable housing are far too low, this needs to be increased, the document will need to reflect this higher aspiration. Need to achieve a greater number of options for affordable home ownership. Need to highlight that the housing market within Newcastle is not homogenous	Not Sound
	17/77/CS8/-/5/-	CPRE Newcastle Group	Public participation: Concern about the lack of reference to direct community involvement on page 29	Sound
	17/80/CS6/-/5/-	CPRE Newcastle Group	Travelling People: Support Policy CS6	Sound
	17/81/CS4/-/5/-	CPRE Newcastle Group	Housing: Number of houses occupied in NGP (534) in Table 2 is wrong.	Sound
	17/83/-/5.16/5/-	CPRE Newcastle Group	Flooding: need to take care not to increase risk of flooding in NGP, as acknowledged in Para 5:16;	Sound
	19/132/-/5.14/5/-	English Heritage	Noted	Sound
	19/133/-/5.21/5/-	English Heritage	It is essential to secure a mix of housing in the Ouseburn	Sound
	19/136/CS2/-/5/-	English Heritage	Para (b) - the protection of larger homes should also refer to their conservation status Para (f) -should focus on making best use of existing housing before resorting to demolition Para (f) - Community support, whilst important cannot be the determining factor	Sound
	19/137/CS2/-/5/4C	English Heritage	Para (b) - the protection of larger homes should also refer to their conservation status Para (f) -should focus on making best use of existing housing before resorting to demolition Para (f) - Community support, whilst important cannot be the determining factor	Sound
	19/138/CS6/-/5/4B	English Heritage	Such sites should avoid unacceptable harm to the setting of important heritage sites	Sound
	19/139/CS6/-/5/4C	English Heritage	Such sites should avoid unacceptable harm to the setting of important heritage sites	Sound
	19/140/CS8/-/5/-	English Heritage	This policy should also address the reuse of existing buildings Supports that policy addresses characteristics of local area.	Sound
	21/157/-/5.15/5/9	Gladedale (Newcastle) Ltd	Noted that the Council is in the process of preparing a SHLAA and concerned that the Core Strategy has reached the submission stage without a key element of the evidence base already in place. Considers that the Core Strategy fails the conformity tests and the coherence, consistency and effectiveness test in that it is not based on a robust and credible evidence base.	Not Sound
	21/162/CS5/-/5/4	Gladedale (Newcastle) Ltd	The proposed Policy CS5 identifies a minimum provision on sites and not, as required by PPS3, a target figure. Paragraph 29 of PPS3 also states that affordable housing requirements should be based on evidence supported by the Strategic Housing Market Assessment which is not available for the City.	Not Sound
	21/163/CS5/-/5/7	Gladedale (Newcastle) Ltd	The proposed Policy CS5 identifies a minimum provision on sites and not, as required by PPS3, a target figure. Paragraph 29 of PPS3 also states that affordable housing requirements should be based on evidence supported by the Strategic Housing Market Assessment which is not available for the City.	Not Sound
	25/178/CS4/5.17/5/-	Joint Advisory Group (Physical and Sensory Disabilities)	Need for greater emphasis and promotion of life time homes. Especially in light of an ageing population. Argues the need for a firm and specific requirement for houses to be of life time home standard	Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	26/180/CS5/-/5/-	Labour Party	Levels of affordable housing should be provided for the life span of the document Increase in targets is therefore required, to reflect current economic conditions.Affordability should be defined by areas rather than citywide	Sound
	28/188/CS3/-/5/4C	Nab Group	Nap Group requests clarity to whether the figures in Policy CS3 are an indicative guide or represents a 'cap' on total housing provision until 2021. The representation highlights the RSS housing figures policy's use of a guide rather than a ceiling number.	Not Sound
	28/189/CS5/-/5/7	Nab Group	The Nap agree that affordable housing is an essential part of the new housing scheme, buy consider the level contribution should be done on a site specific level, informed by a up to date housing market needs assessment. With the level of contribution subject to detailed discussion between developer and the local authority.	Not Sound
	28/190/CS8/-/5/4B	Nab Group	Nap Group support the use of brownfield land for new housing development, the representation Nap Groups consider the proposed density of dwellings per hectare to be too restrictive. Suggesting an alternative range of densities which are area specific, rather than one city wide, broad density range.	Not Sound
	28/191/CS8/-/5/4C	Nab Group	Nap Group support the use of brownfield land for new housing development, the representation Nap Groups consider the proposed density of dwellings per hectare to be too restrictive. Suggesting an alternative range of densities which are area specific, rather than one city wide, broad density range.	Not Sound
	3/10/CS4/-/5/9	Ashdale Land and Property Co Ltd	Support the provision of mix of housing types, sizes and tenures. Support the objective of increasing the development of larger, family sized homes in the City. Suggest that reference to specific targets and reference to achieving the Lifetime Homes Standard should be dealt with in an SPD rather than the Core Strategy.	Not Sound
	3/11/CS5/-/5/9	Ashdale Land and Property Co Ltd	Suggest that the final paragraph of Policy CS5 should represent the thrust of the Policy and that other more detailed issues are dealt with under through the SPD.	Not Sound
	3/12/CS8/-/5/7	Ashdale Land and Property Co Ltd	Object to statement 'Given the large, committed Greenfield allocation at Newcastle Great Park there will be limited scope for further Greenfield sites to come forward if this target is to be met'. Recommend this is deleted and that Policy CS8 should set an indicative target for the proportion of new housing to be delivered on previously developed land.	Not Sound
	3/9/CS3/-/5/9	Ashdale Land and Property Co Ltd	Recommend the Council set out a flexible approach to land release in Policy CS3, whereby if monitoring indicates that the AAP areas are not delivering the projected housing numbers then this should be made up by an increase in provision across the rest of the City.	Not Sound
	30/205/CS8/-/5/4B	Natural England	This policy should also recognise biodiversity value of land (in line with PPS9) by addressing the existing value of previously used land and the integrating biodiversity into design and layout.	Not Sound
	30/206/CS8/-/5/4B	Natural England	Highlight the need to consider the biodiversity and geological conservation value of brownfield land.	Not Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	33/254/CS3/-/5/7	Newcastle Great Park Consortium	<p>Will make further comments once a firm evidence base is completed (RSS published, completion of the SHMA or SHLAA).</p> <p>Not inline with Policy 31 of the RSS.</p> <p>Core Strategy should plan to 2024 rather than 202 to ensure continuous delivery of housing.</p> <p>Ceiling figures should reflect proposed change 77 to RSS (therefore should be increased)</p> <p>Notes that figures in able2 for completions at NGP appears low</p> <p>Flexibility is needed to maintain a 1,000 unit gross annual requirement. Request the accompanying text to be amended to record previous under performance and increase output to compensate.</p> <p>Clarity over robustness of evidence base for Policy CS3 is needed. Representation highlights NGP role in achieving housing figures.</p> <p>Without the completed SLHAA with the evidence base the Core Strategy is deemed to be unsound.</p>	Not Sound
	33/255/CS4/-/5/7	Newcastle Great Park Consortium	<p>Considers policy CS4 fails to take into account guidance within PPS3 of the role developers makes in achieving forward high quality market housing.</p> <p>Object to paragraph 5.22 as it is uninformed by a completed SHLAA</p> <p>Concerned over focus to on specific groups and house types.</p> <p>Supports reference to prioritisation of large homes, and highlights NGP role in achieving this aim.</p>	Not Sound
	33/256/CS5/-/5/7	Newcastle Great Park Consortium	<p>Object to proposal for an affordable housing SPD, considering the importance of a robust evidence d for affordable housing an DPD would be more suitable. Object to the lack of a full evidence base underpinning the specified percentage, seek for a target. Cautions against high affordable housing requirements out of regeneration areas, which could potential negatively impact on regeneration initiatives. Welcomes commitment to an annual review of affordable housing. Consortium does not consider it appropriate to specify a requirement for special need housing within a Core Strategy, as this will be covered through the requirement for lifetime homes.</p>	Not Sound
	33/257/CS7/-/5/7	Newcastle Great Park Consortium	<p>Seeks for minor amendment to confirm preferential location for shared and student housing will be in defined district and neighbourhood centers</p>	Not Sound
	33/258/CS8/-/5/7	Newcastle Great Park Consortium	<p>Object to the specific reference to a sequential approach Welcomes commitment to the efficient use of land, although caution the simply application of 40 dwellings per hectare to all potential housing sites.</p>	Not Sound
	36/286/CS7/-/5/7	Newcastle University	<p>The policy does not state how student accommodation will be provided to meet needs. It seeks to protect residential areas from students but does not recognise that both new build development and private rented stock are required. It does not recognise the positive economic and social value of the student population in the city and community. In addition the bed space figure needs to be amended as it is based on out of date evidence.</p>	Not Sound
	37/294/CS7/-/5/7	Newcastle University Union Society	<p>Does not recognise value of students in the community and does not allow for any student opinion or involvement in the process. Replace sentence in supporting text re using planning powers to protect residential amenity with a sentence about supporting a balanced approach to student housing, achieving both new build and private rented accommodation</p>	Not Sound
	39/195/CS5/-/5/-	NHS North of Tyne	<p>Policy CS5,-: Suggest the consideration of introducing retirement village</p>	Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	39/197/-/5.26/5/-	NHS North of Tyne	Section 5.26 (page 26) Targets for access to health and social services should be contained within the Affordable Housing SPD	Sound
	42/314/CS3/-/5/4C	Northumberland County Council	Housing figures quoted are not consistent with Drfat RSs May 2007. Suggest that CS needs to be updated in line with adopted RSS in terms of phasing and final numbers	Not Sound
	43/317/CS5/-/5/4B	Northumberland Tyne and Wear NHS Trust	Support general recognition for the requirement of affordable housing. Object to blanket target of 30% due to the lack of evidence base, due to an incomplete SHMA. Reference is made to the over ruling of Blyth Valley council affordable housing policy. Policy CS5 set requirement over a threshold is contrary to approach in PPS3,	Not Sound
	43/319/CS4/-/5/4B	Northumberland Tyne and Wear NHS Trust	Support recognition of an ageing population Lack of policy regarding accommodation for older people. Need to acknowledge the benefits of specialised accommodation for older people. Further details on this policy should be brought through a DPD rather than an SPD.	Not Sound
	43/320/CS4/-/5/9	Northumberland Tyne and Wear NHS Trust	Support recognition of an ageing population Lack of policy regarding accommodation for older people. Need to acknowledge the benefits of specialised accommodation for older people. Further details on this policy should be brought through a DPD rather than an SPD.	Not Sound
	43/321/CS5/-/5/7	Northumberland Tyne and Wear NHS Trust	Support general recognition for the requirement of affordable housing. Object to blanket target of 30% due to the lack of evidence base, due to an incomplete SHMA. Reference is made to the over ruling of Blyth Valley council affordable housing policy. Policy CS5 set requirement over a threshold is contrary to approach in PPS3,	Not Sound
	46/340/-/1/5/-	One NorthEast	Population and Housing: Welcomes Strategic objectives_Affordability policy allows for flexible, welcomes intention to monitor and review targets	Sound
	47/346/CS3/-/5/7	O'Neil	Policy CS3, requested to be amended to include reference to the need to maintain a five year supply (arguing currently at test 7 has not be met) Concern over use of RSS with Proposed Changes February 2008 housing figures rather than May 2008 version. Question validity of the evidence base due to the incomplete Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment and Strategic Housing Market Assessment for Tyne and Wear.	Not Sound
	47/347/CS3/-/5/9	O'Neil	Policy CS3, requested to be amended to include reference to the need to maintain a five year supply (arguing currently at test 7 has not be met) Concern over use of RSS with Proposed Changes February 2008 housing figures rather than May 2008 version. Question validity of the evidence base due to the incomplete Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment and Strategic Housing Market Assessment for Tyne and Wear.	Not Sound
	47/348/CS5/-/5/7	O'Neil	Policy CS5, request an amendment to the wording in order to meet test 7 Request for ' The overall provision'...by the Council having regard to the .'mix proposed and evidenced to be provided by developers on viability.	Not Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	47/349/CS5/-/5/9	O'Neil	Policy CS5, request an amendment to the wording in order to meet test 7 Request for ' The overall provision..by the Council having regard to the...mix proposed and evidenced to be provided by developers on viability.	Not Sound
	49/358/CS3/-/5/4C	Rosebourne Holdings Limited	Generally agrees with Policy CS3, but requires further clarification as to whether the figures should be read as an indicative guide, or whether the figures represent a 'cap' on total housing provision until 2021. "RSS states that housing figures should be used as a guide, and not form a ceiling for housing numbers. This is not mentioned in the Policy, and as such it should be considered unsound".	Not Sound
	49/359/CS5/-/5/7	Rosebourne Holdings Limited	Agrees that affordable housing provision is an essential part of new housing strategy. Suggests "Policy CS5 should reflect the site-by-site nature of individual proposals and that affordable housing requirement should be the subject of detailed discussions between developers and the local authority.	Not Sound
	49/360/CS7/-/5/-	Rosebourne Holdings Limited	Support the Council's commitment to providing an extra 4,000 to 6,000 student bed spaces in purpose built accommodation up to 2011. Bed spaces should be focussed in areas within cycling distance of both Newcastle and Northumbria Campuses to ensure students have easy access and do not have to rely on car or public transport.	Sound
	49/361/CS8/-/5/4B	Rosebourne Holdings Limited	Use of brownfield land for new is supported, Greenfield sites which are well related to existing housing. should also be supported. Developments should not be restricted to between 30 and 50 dwellings per hectare, as this may result in negative land values, or restrict the development of larger/executive housing on smaller sites. Conversely, sites in sustainable locations, capable of supporting higher densities, development should not be restricted by a ceiling on density of 50 dwellings per hectare.	Not Sound
	49/362/CS8/-/5/4C	Rosebourne Holdings Limited	Use of brownfield land for new is supported, Greenfield sites which are well related to existing housing. should also be supported. Developments should not be restricted to between 30 and 50 dwellings per hectare, as this may result in negative land values, or restrict the development of larger/executive housing on smaller sites. Conversely, sites in sustainable locations, capable of supporting higher densities, development should not be restricted by a ceiling on density of 50 dwellings per hectare.	Not Sound
	5/47/CS2/-/5/-	Azam	In general considers the policy to be sound, however requests reference to the need to work with private landowners as well as public bodies.	Not Sound
	5/48/CS4/-/5/6	Azam	In general considers the policy to be sound, request for clarification on the policy and supporting text as it current appears inconsistent with CS3	Sound
	5/49/CS4/-/5/7	Azam	In general considers the policy to be sound, request for clarification on the policy and supporting text as it current appears inconsistent with CS3	Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	50/367/CS3/-/5/7	Rotherford Estates	Policy CS3, requested to be amended to include reference to the need to maintain a five year supply (arguing currently at test 7 has not be met) Concern over use of RSS with Proposed Changes February 2008 housing figures rather than May 2008 version. Question validity of the evidence base due to the incomplete Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment and Strategic Housing Market Assessment for Tyne and Wear	Not Sound
	50/368/CS3/-/5/9	Rotherford Estates	Policy CS3, requested to be amended to include reference to the need to maintain a five year supply (arguing currently at test 7 has not be met) Concern over use of RSS with Proposed Changes February 2008 housing figures rather than May 2008 version. Question validity of the evidence base due to the incomplete Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment and Strategic Housing Market Assessment for Tyne and Wear	Not Sound
	50/369/CS5/-/5/7	Rotherford Estates	Policy CS5, request an amendment to the wording in order to meet test 7 Request for ' The overall provision... .....by the Council having regard to the..... mix proposed and evidenced to be provided by developers on viability.	Not Sound
	50/370/CS5/-/5/9	Rotherford Estates	Policy CS5, request an amendment to the wording in order to meet test 7 Request for ' The overall provision... .....by the Council having regard to the..... mix proposed and evidenced to be provided by developers on viability.	Not Sound
	55/391/CS2/-/5/-	The Summerhill Society	Suggest should include or be supported by more detail.	Sound
	55/392/CS4/-/5/-	The Summerhill Society	Lacks precision. We need standards as already mentioned	Sound
	55/393/CS5/-/5/-	The Summerhill Society	Affordable is a very vague term. If the policy is based on seeking a subsidy from private developers for less prosperous citizens, then now seems quite unworkable.	Sound
	55/394/CS7/-/5/-	The Summerhill Society	Needs clarification on terms used. Some statements used could equally apply to all forms of housing in CS2.	Sound
	55/395/CS8/-/5/-	The Summerhill Society	Density considerations historically led to reductions. This is now being reversed to an extent. Care needs to be taken to ensure that the benefits of open space and of good quality design taking into account access, layout and scale are not forgotten.	Sound
	55/396/CS3/-/5/-	The Summerhill Society	If just a policy to equate supply of land with demand for houses, say so. Otherwise needs supporting with standards covering space, density, sustainability and design. It also needs backing up with information on delivery.	Sound
	59/436/CS4/-/5/7	University of Northumbria	The evidence base (Housing Requirement Study 2003 and Housing Needs Study 2008) is unsound, as it does not comply with PPS3 requirements, contrary to the requirements of PPS3.	Not Sound
	59/437/CS5/-/5/7	University of Northumbria	As above, plus Studies significantly inflate affordable housing needSame concerns as were expressed against the Blyth Valley Affordable Housing policy	Not Sound
	59/438/CS5/-/5/4	University of Northumbria	Argument as above SPD should not set housing targets, as this would bypass the need for an examination in public of the evidence contrary to PPS12	Not Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	59/439/CS7/-/5/4	University of Northumbria	This is not a policy, but supporting text. There should be a policy that provides a clear statement of the City's intended actions to provide for the identified need for shared and student housing. There should be a clear quantification of the contribution made by shared housing in meeting identified need. Requires a clear statement of how the identification of suitable sites will be approached within the LDF. Suggest policy includes: 'Newcastle's identified need for student housing will be provided in the Area Action Plans and other relevant site and criteria based policy, which will identify known suitable sites within the authority's area and will make express provision for projected windfall sites both from Area Action Plans and elsewhere. Controls should be included in a DPD, not an SPD as this would bypass the need for an enquiry in public to test the required evidence contrary to PPS12. University would welcome dialogue on this issue.	Not Sound
	59/440/CS4/-/5/4	University of Northumbria	The evidence base (Housing Requirement Study 2003 and Housing Needs Study 2008) is unsound, as it does not contain an evaluation of economic viability required by PPS3. CS4 provides that policy detail will be provided by an SPD. There is inadequate policy substance in CS4 to make this approach acceptable (PPS12)	Not Sound
	6/50/CS4/-/5/4B	Banks Development Ltd	Considers that CS4 conflicts with CS3 in that a large proportion of units are to be brought forward in the City Centres and Policy CS4 would obstruct this. Suggests that the reference to the Councils preference for larger houses should be deleted. Growth Point bid / announcement should be referenced.	Not Sound
	6/51/CS5/-/5/4B	Banks Development Ltd	The policy does not take into account the viability of affordable housing as required by PPS3. Recommend alteration to read "The Council will accept a lower affordable housing provision contribution if the economics of provision are such that the provision of affordable housing renders the development unviable".	Not Sound
	60/457/CS3/-/5/7	Waitrose Ltd	Recommends that Policy CS3 should be reworded to include explicit reference to the delivery of housing within Newcastle Great Park.	Not Sound
	61/462/CS3/-/5/7	Weetslade Farms Ltd	Policy CS3, requested to be amended to include reference to the need to maintain a five year supply (arguing currently at test 7 has not be met) Concern over use of RSS with Proposed Changes February 2008 housing figures rather than May 2008 version. Question validity of the evidence base due to the incomplete Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment and Strategic Housing Market Assessment for Tyne and Wear.	Not Sound
	61/463/CS3/-/5/9	Weetslade Farms Ltd	Policy CS3, requested to be amended to include reference to the need to maintain a five year supply (arguing currently at test 7 has not be met) Concern over use of RSS with Proposed Changes February 2008 housing figures rather than May 2008 version. Question validity of the evidence base due to the incomplete Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment and Strategic Housing Market Assessment for Tyne and Wear.	Not Sound
	61/464/CS5/-/5/7	Weetslade Farms Ltd	Policy CS5, request an amendment to the wording in order to meet test 7 Request for ' The overall provision... .....by the Council having regard to the..... mix proposed and evidenced to be provided by developers on viability.	Not Sound
	61/465/CS5/-/5/9	Weetslade Farms Ltd	Policy CS5, request an amendment to the wording in order to meet test 7 Request for ' The overall provision... .....by the Council having regard to the..... mix proposed and evidenced to be provided by developers on viability.	Not Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	9/60/CS3/-/5/4B	Barratt Newcastle Ltd	Policy should plan for continuous delivery of housing for at least 15 years from date of adoption (as required by emerging RSS and PPS12), i.e. to at least 2024.	Not Sound
	9/61/CS3/-/5/4C	Barratt Newcastle Ltd	Policy should plan for continuous delivery of housing for at least 15 years from date of adoption (as required by emerging RSS and PPS12), i.e. to at least 2024.	Not Sound
	9/62/CS3/-/5/4B	Barratt Newcastle Ltd	Gross completions over the first half of the first period show a shortfall of around 500 units. Maintaining a 1,000 unit gross annual requirement does not provide sufficient flexibility to enable the shortfall to be addressed. The accompanying text should be amended to record the historic underperformance and note in accordance with para.64 of PPS3 the potential to compensate with increased output beyond the projected phased completions. In the absence of a SHLAA it is unclear whether Table 2 is robust and how it feeds into Policy CS3.	Not Sound
	9/63/CS3/-/5/4C	Barratt Newcastle Ltd	Policy should plan for continuous delivery of housing for at least 15 years from date of adoption (as required by emerging RSS and PPS12), i.e. to at least 2024.	Not Sound
	9/64/CS3/-/5/7	Barratt Newcastle Ltd	Gross completions over the first half of the first period show a shortfall of around 500 units. Maintaining a 1,000 unit gross annual requirement does not provide sufficient flexibility to enable the shortfall to be addressed. The accompanying text should be amended to record the historic underperformance and note in accordance with para.64 of PPS3 the potential to compensate with increased output beyond the projected phased completions. In the absence of a SHLAA it is unclear whether Table 2 is robust and how it feeds into Policy CS3.	Not Sound
	9/65/CS4/5.22/5/4B	Barratt Newcastle Ltd	Welcome prioritisation of larger homes of at least three bedrooms to assist in attracting and retaining families and addressing out-migration. Object to potential for Council to specify preferred mix of market housing on a site by site basis, particularly where this may be informed by an historic evidence base. Also concern that importance of wider housing market is not lost through detailed focus on specific groups and house types (e.g. Lifetime Homes).	Not Sound
	9/66/CS5/-/5/4B	Barratt Newcastle Ltd	Policy should set overall target for amount of affordable housing to be provided (PPS3, para.29) rather than a specific percentage, which is not underpinned by a full evidence base. Object to proposed SPD on Affordable Housing issue should be addressed through a DPD informed by a SHMA. PPS12 para.6.1 indicates that SPDs should not be prepared with aim of avoiding examination. Provision of significant affordable housing outwith core regeneration areas could negatively impact on regeneration initiatives and result in out-migration of existing population.Support annual review of affordable housing indeed it may be that targets can be reduced as affordability increases as a result of current market conditions. Inappropriate to specify a requirement for special needs housing will be covered through the requirements for Lifetime Homes and meeting identified local needs.	Not Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	9/67/CS5/-/5/7	Barratt Newcastle Ltd	Policy should set overall target for amount of affordable housing to be provided (PPS3, para.29) rather than a specific percentage, which is not underpinned by a full evidence base. Object to proposed SPD on Affordable Housing ' issue should be addressed through a DPD informed by a SHMA. PPS12 para.6.1 indicates that SPDs should not be prepared with aim of avoiding examination. Provision of significant affordable housing out of core regeneration areas could negatively impact on regeneration initiatives and result in out-migration of existing population. Support annual review of affordable housing ' indeed it may be that targets can be reduced as affordability increases as a result of current market conditions. Inappropriate to specify a requirement for special needs housing 'will be covered through the requirements for Lifetime Homes and meeting identified local needs.	Not Sound
	9/68/CS8/-/5/4B	Barratt Newcastle Ltd	Objection is raised to specific reference to the Sequential Approach to the release of land, which is no longer in PPS3. Housing delivery requires a flexible, responsive land supply, managed efficiently & effectively. Commitment to efficient use of land welcomed but need to consider how higher density schemes could compromise ability to achieve wider objectives such as family housing. Objection to simply applying a density of 40dph to all sites.	Not Sound
Chapter 6				
	1/5/CS9/-/6/-	ADM Milling	Support identification of Ouseburn Regeneration Area for mixed use small-to-medium sized enterprises.	Sound
	17/75/CS9/-/6/-	CPRE Newcastle Group	Economic development: Suggest the use of Index of social and economic Well-being instead of Gross Value Added; support the use of prestige development site of NGP as proposed by Policy CS9	Sound
	17/78/-/6.16/6/-	CPRE Newcastle Group	Education: support that "education is not solely to prepare people for employment" in Para 6.16	Sound
	19/130/CS9/-/6/-	English Heritage	Is it discovery quarter and City Centre or discovery quarter of the City Centre	Sound
	19/131/CS10/-/6/4C	English Heritage	There should be a presumption that schools of some historic importance will be retained when no longer need for education.	Sound
	3/13/CS9/-/6/7	Ashdale Land and Property Co Ltd	Policy is to prescriptive, could lead to a shortfall in employment land if some of the 'principal locations' are delayed or don't come forward. Suggest further statement at CS9 (g) Land within close proximity to the A1(T), particularly adjacent to the North Brunton Junction, primarily for high quality offices for businesses requiring high accessibility.	Not Sound
	33/251/CS9/-/6/7	Newcastle Great Park Consortium	Welcomes policy content and paragraph 6.14, which recognize that the expansion of the airport will be safeguarded for uses which are directly related to the operation or function of the airport. Note the use of the RSS for appropriate uses	Not Sound
	34/265/CS9/-/6/-	Newcastle International Airport Ltd	Welcome the inclusion of land at the airport for development. The policy will need to reflect the content of the RSS once adopted.	Sound
	34/268/-/6.14/6/-	Newcastle International Airport Ltd	Request that LDF reflects the RSS in respect to airport related development and the consideration of other uses where appropriate.	Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	34/269/-/6.13/6/-	Newcastle International Airport Ltd	Generally support, requests that reference is made to the scale envisaged un the RSS As well as reference to employment and economic benefits of airport growth.	Sound
	34/272/-/6.15/6/-	Newcastle International Airport Ltd	Suggests that the LDF focuses on locally controllable emissions, rather that global issues such as engine technology and alternative fuels	Sound
	34/273/-/6.15/6/-	Newcastle International Airport Ltd	Highlights PPS24 requirement to include policies relating to development in Airport noise exposure categories. Policy will be required in relation to development in the airport public safety zone	Sound
	36/279/CS9/-/6/4A	Newcastle University	It is not clear as to what site within what is described as the Discovery Quarter is for Science City or within that Science Central. The meaning of CS9(a) is ambiguous. It should be clarified as to what the 10ha mentioned refers to..	Not Sound
	36/282/CS10/6.17/6/6	Newcastle University	The text should list partners in Science City beyond the University and the City Council accurately, i.e. say 'One NorthEast' and not 'other partners'.	Not Sound
	36/285/CS10/-/6/7	Newcastle University	The policy does not recognise that higher education deserves special consideration with regard to planning obligations, although schools and further education are mentioned. Higher education is publically funded and students place less call on public amenities, so should not be treated the same as commercial operators.	Not Sound
	40/303/CS9/-/6/-	North East Assembly	Supports intention Table One- figures should be amended to reflect the higher allocations in the RSS or evidence to justify the policies departure Stance on employment generally appears unclear The extent of development anticipated in the regeneration and smaller general employment sites is also unclear. Request that clarity is provided on scale and location of general employment within the city.	Sound
	40/304/-/6.4/6/-	North East Assembly	Current referral to 'Prestige Employment Sites' should be amended to 'Key Employment Locations' to reflect RSS Further Proposed Changes	Sound
	40/305/-/6.9/6/-	North East Assembly	Current referral to 'Prestige Employment Sites' should be amended to 'Key Employment Locations' to reflect RSS Further Proposed Changes	Sound
	44/327/CS9/-/6/-	Northumberland Wildlife Trust	Policy CS9-Representation calls for a regional, sustainable and co-ordinated strategic approach to be adopted to tackle demand for prestige employment land as well as current vacancies. Highlight he need for a sensitive approach at the Great Park due to wildlife and protected species interest.	Sound
	46/338/CS9/-/6/-	One NorthEast	Need for acknowledgement of the greater proportion of contribution to RSS figures Clarity is requested over the size of land quoted for the Discovery Quarter is needed- does the current figure just relate to Science City?	Sound
	46/339/CS9/-/6/-	One NorthEast	Request not to restrict area around the airport to soley airport related uses, reference is made RSS' stance on acceptable alternative uses	Sound
	51/373/CS9/-/6/-	Royal Mail Group plc	Flexibility should be applied by Policy CS9 in accordance with PPS4.	Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	53/415/CS10/-/6/4	St Andrew's Church	Policy does not meet the requirements of the tests in Annex B of Circular 05/05. Respondent consider the policy unable to implement.	Not Sound
	55/382/CS10/-/6/-	The Summerhill Society	Puzzled as to why this is under economy. We have an interest in development of the College site and look forward to involvement in its planning.	Sound
	55/386/CS9/-/6/-	The Summerhill Society	Not clear if 10ha for Discovery Quarter is just for Science City or not. The phrase 'large scale' needs qualifying, in particular so that there would not be a general raising of the skyline. High design standards should take precedence over a general commitment to large scale development.	Sound
	59/434/CS10/-/6/4	University of Northumbria	(Requirement for employment and training) Policy does not meet the requirements of the test of soundness in Annex B of Circular 05/05 Not required to make development acceptable	Not Sound
	59/445/CS10/-/6/4	University of Northumbria	Opportunity for policy to demonstrate commitment to knowledge economy.	Not Sound
Chapter 7				
	1/6/CS13/-/7/-	ADM Milling	Support identification of Ouseburn Regeneration Area as appropriate for leisure, culture and tourism facilities, as part of mixed-use development and where appropriate to local scale and character.	Sound
	13/468/CS11/-/7/4B	Brookfield Multiplex	Supports the proposed policy approach to the quantum and general location in the proposed level of new comparison goods shopping set out in policy CS11. Consider that the East Pilgrim Street area be allocated as a strategic site. The policy proposes that the City Centre AAP should define the boundaries of the proposed extension of the Core Shopping Area but it would now be possible for the Core Strategy to do this under guidance from the revised PPS12.	Not sound
	14/89/CS13/-/7/4B	Camtec Properties Limited	Representation refers to an inconsistency between CS13 and national guidance (Good Practice Guide on Planning for Tourism, May 2006). Request for the policy to incorporate Annex A, Para 14 to ensure the Policy's consistency with national guidance.	Not Sound
	15/90/CS11/-/7/9	Capital Shopping Centres plc	Concerns that CS11 fails to provide the required flexibility for the plan to deal with changing circumstances. Suggest that reference to "up to 75,000sq mis" deleted, and revised so as to read "A need for additional comparison goods floorspace has been identified up to 2016, further assessments of need and impact should be undertaken by applicants at the time of planning application submissions(s)".	Not Sound
	15/91/CS11/-/7/4B	Capital Shopping Centres plc	Consider that the expansion of the Core Shopping Area around the Pilgrim Street area could result in the Core Strategy being inconsistent with national policy. Suggest allocating the Pilgrim Street area "as a location with potential to accommodate future retail development, where there is a need and where there is no sequentially preferable sites within the Primary Shopping Area".	Not Sound
	17/71/CS11/-/7/4B	CPRE Newcastle Group	Supports the proposed policy approach to the quantum and general location in the proposed level of new comparison goods shopping set out in policy CS11. Consider that the East Pilgrim Street area be allocated as a strategic site. The policy proposes tha	Not Sound
	17/79/CS12/-/7/-	CPRE Newcastle Group	Shopping: Support limiting shopping centre at NGP to 1860sqm (policy CS12)	Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	17/82/-/7.22/7/-	CPRE Newcastle Group	City Centre: Support restrictions for further licensed premises in Gosforth High Street (para 7.22)	Sound
	19/134/-/7.7/7/4C	English Heritage	Extending the core shopping area in the area east of Pilgrim Street needs to be conservation led.	Sound
	19/135/-/7.9/7/4A	English Heritage	There is a need to take stock of the Grainger Town project as a matter of urgency, to identify any corrective action required.	Sound
	22/164/-/7.10/7/4	Goodman Property Investors	Recommend the following modifications ".....District and neighbourhood centres will also be suitable locations for comparison goods expansion where it does not affect the retail hierarchy of the city".	Not Sound
	22/165/-/7.11/7/4	Goodman Property Investors	Suggest that "identifiable priority" be replaced with "identifiable need". Recommend deletion of the word "exceptional" which is "superfluous as PPS6 requires that a "needs" case is demonstrated for new retail development".	Not Sound
	22/166/-/7.11/7/9	Goodman Property Investors	Suggest that "identifiable priority" be replaced with "identifiable need". Recommend deletion of the word "exceptional" which is "superfluous as PPS6 requires that a "needs" case is demonstrated for new retail development".	Not Sound
	22/167/-/7.14/7/4	Goodman Property Investors	Recommend recognition of qualitative need in paragraph 7.14 and that whilst the City-wide Retail Study only identified limited growth potential for convenience goods floorspace, future bespoke retail assessments may identify capacity for additional retail floorspace to meet a local need.	Not Sound
	22/168/-/7.14/7/9	Goodman Property Investors	Recommend recognition of qualitative need in paragraph 7.14 and that whilst the City-wide Retail Study only identified limited growth potential for convenience goods floorspace, future bespoke retail assessments may identify capacity for additional retail floorspace to meet a local need.	Not Sound
	22/169/-/7.3/7/7	Goodman Property Investors	Recommend that the District Centres are listed within this paragraph and that the word "larger" should be deleted from the second bullet point.	Not Sound
	22/170/CS11/-/7/7	Goodman Property Investors	Refers relates to 'comparison goods shopping', but only refers to City Centre and includes no reference to comparison goods shopping within the District , Neighbourhood or Local Centres. Request that clearer guidance for comparison goods elsewhere is given. Suggest that 'Expanded and enhanced comparison goods shopping will be acceptable within and on the edge of District Centres where it will not affect the retail hierarchy of the city' be inserted at the end of Policy CS11.	Not Sound
	22/171/CS12/-/7/7	Goodman Property Investors	Unclear whether CS12 refers to both comparison and convenience shopping.  Reference to 'Local and convenience goods shopping' is unclear, recommend that the word 'local' be deleted from the Policy for clarity. Recommend that reference to the edge of centre sites be included within Policy CS12.  Recommend that the word'Redevelopment' is replaced with 'New retail development' for greater clarity. Recommend that reference to the retail floorspace capacity figure be deleted to allow greater flexibility for a higher amount of floorspace.	Not Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	22/172/CS12/-/7/9	Goodman Property Investors	Reference to 'Local and convenience goods shopping' is unclear, recommend that the word 'local' be deleted from the Policy for clarity. Recommend that reference to the edge of centre sites be included within Policy CS12. Recommend that the word'Redevelopment' is replaced with 'New retail development' for greater clarity. Recommend that reference to the retail floorspace capacity figure be deleted to allow greater flexibility for a higher amount of floorspace.	Not Sound
	30/222/-/7.25/7/4B	Natural England	Surprised this is not included as a policy. Need to recognise links with other health related initiatives.	Not Sound
	30/233/CS13/-/7/4B	Natural England	Policy should recognise the culture and tourist value of recreational routes including the Hadrian's Wall path and cycle trails. Request that criterion (d) should protect and enhance both designated sites and other biodiversity interests, in line with national requirements.	Not Sound
	32/245/-/7.12/7/-	Newcastle Community Empowerment Network	Paragraph 7.12 Support for the five District Centres need to be matched with commitments to ensure good transport connections. There needs to be a focus on needs of local people to travel north-south throughout their neighbourhoods.	Sound
	33/252/CS12/7.3/7/7	Newcastle Great Park Consortium	Summary of the role and function of neighbourhood centers is not accurate, request to delete, third bullet point of paragraph 7.3, should be amended to read 'Neighbourhood Centers, containing a mix of retail and service uses, as well as other community facilities.' Request for additional paragraphs to be included, making reference to the retail requirements at Newcastle Great Park.	Not Sound
	33/253/CS12/-/7/7	Newcastle Great Park Consortium	Support provision for up to 1,860m2 net convenience goods floorspace as part of a new centre at NGP Need to ensure that NGP centre is highly accessible to all parts of the wider NGP development by a choice of means of transport As the core strategy is for at least of 15 year plan life, therefore NGP considers it inappropriate to specify specific floor areas Respectfully suggest that retail provision to 2016 be referenced in the accompanying text. Important that the centre at NGP includes a range of use consistent with its intended function, therefore enhancing the vitality and viability of NGP	Not Sound
	36/283/-/7.17/7/7	Newcastle University	The text would benefit from mentioning other cultural developments, such as the Great North Museum, Central Library, Northern stage, Seven Stories and so on.	Not Sound
	39/193/CS12/-/7/-	NHS North of Tyne	Policy CS12 Highlights need to mention assessing development against requirements to encourage healthy eating.	Sound
	40/299/CS12/-/7/-	North East Assembly	Would be useful to provide a hierarchy of centres, including district, neighbourhood and local centres.	Sound
	40/300/CS13/-/7/-	North East Assembly	Should reference the requirement of RPG 1 policy TOUR	Sound
	41/308/CS13/-/7/4B	Northern Racing Ltd	Seek the deletion of 'that are appropriate at out locations in the Green Belt and..' Representation highlights that existing UDP Policy OS4 makes no reference to the Green Belt, this did not compromise the control of development. Replace principle with principal	Not Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	41/309/CS13/-/7/9	Northern Racing Ltd	The wording of CS13 in comparison to UDP policy OS4 (site specific policy for High Gosforth) is inflexible as it would inhibit opportunities to create new facilities unrelated to the current use at High Gosforth Park. Suggest: 'high Gosforth Park, for developments related to sporting and recreational and local environmental designations'	Not Sound
	42/312/-/7.11/7/-	Northumberland County Council	Supports policy approach to concentrate retail and other defined town centre uses in existing centres	Sound
	42/313/CS11/-/7/7	Northumberland County Council	The identified need (75,000 sqm) is substantially more than the 25,000sqm identified in the Preferred Options report. It is in a location outside the current core shopping area. Requires evidence base that demonstrates impact and need, including assessment of impact development may have on centres within Northumberland.	Not Sound
	44/323/CS13/-/7/-	Northumberland Wildlife Trust	Policy CS13d Concerns over development at High Gosforth Park, although welcomes its national and local environmental designation.	Sound
	46/336/CS11/-/7/-	One NorthEast	Reference is needed to the North East England Accommodation Study – an Investment Action Plan and the Hotel Futures study	Sound
	48/350/-/7.11/7/-	ProplInvest	Agrees that there is no current identifiable priority for any further significant expansion in out-of-centre comparison goods retail development in the city. Suggest further study to ensure that sufficient additional retail sales can be accommodated within the town centre.	Sound
	48/353/CS11/-/7/-	ProplInvest	Suggest amendment to confirm that the 75,000 sq m of net sales area is in addition to the existing retail provision, and whether the quantum of need is a 'requirement' or 'upper limit' of additional sales floor space. Further justification is needed to demonstrate why this extension of the core shopping area is necessary and why Pilgrim Street has been chosen specifically chosen to accommodate much of this floorspace.	Not Sound
	48/354/CS13/-/7/-	ProplInvest	Policy states that the principal location for larger scale development of leisure, cultural and tourism facilities will be the city centre, including the Discovery Quarter and Quayside. Request that 'The Gate' is specifically identified in the text.	Sound
	53/416/CS11/-/7/9	St Andrew's Church	The last sentence of the policy imposes an inappropriate rigidity upon the future City Centre Area Action Plan. The wording of the policy needs to be more flexible (in accordance with PPS12). Suggested rewording to ensure flexibility and delivery if future development.	Not Sound
	54/406/CS11/7.4/7/9	Tesco Stores Limited	Policy CS11 could impose a limit on development proposals which may constrain delivery of wider regeneration. Tests of soundness require DPD's to be reasonably flexible to deal with changing circumstances and the policy should be amended to read 'A need is identified up to 2016 for additional comparison goods floorspace of around 75,000sq.m net'. Flexibility is also required to ensure that the policy does not limit development outside of the City centre and the following additional wording is proposed: 'The development of comparison goods floorspace may also be appropriate in neighbourhood and local centres or as part of mixed use development which supports regeneration and economic growth'.	Not Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	54/407/CS12/7.12/7/9	Tesco Stores Limited	Reference to specific floorspace figures may impose artificial limits on development and thus the flexibility of the strategy to deal with changing circumstances. Second sentence onwards of second paragraph should read: 'Proposals for additional convenience goods floorspace will be brought forward in the following areas to meet the need arising from population growth and regeneration and where an improvement in quality and choice is required: a) At a site or sites within Benwell Scotswood b) At the new Neighbourhood Centre in Walker c) At Newcastle Great Park. Further guidance will be provided in the relevant Area Action Plans'	Not Sound
	55/389/CS12/-/7/-	The Summerhill Society	We note that Elswick Road and Westgate Road offer convenience goods and believe that Westmorland Road might also be developed in this way	Sound
	55/390/CS13/-/7/-	The Summerhill Society	Again we are puzzled by location of the topic of leisure, culture and tourism under shopping and other town centre uses. It is another example of centrist emphasis, painting the city as about development rather than character. We would like to see more mention of the historic environment as a driver for economic growth.	Sound
	60/452/CS12/-/7/7	Waitrose Ltd	Newcastle Great Park should be identified in Policy CS12 as a new District Centre for development during the plan period.	Not Sound
	60/453/CS12/-/7/9	Waitrose Ltd	Object to the identification of detailed floorspace figures, particularly where they are used to indicate a maximum level of development.	Not Sound
	60/454/CS12/4.3/7/4B	Waitrose Ltd	Suggest that sites categorised by Newcastle City Council as Neighbourhood Centres should be re-categorised as either District or Local Centres.	Not Sound
	60/455/CS12/4.4/7/4B	Waitrose Ltd	Suggest that sites categorised by Newcastle City Council as Neighbourhood Centres should be re-categorised as either District or Local Centres.	Not Sound
	62/466/CS12/-/7/4	WM Morrison	Supports the identification of five District Centres (Denton Park, Adelaide Terrace, Gosforth High Street, Kingston Park and Shields Road) which should provide the focus for local retail provision. Concerns regarding the amount of retail floorspace identified in the Benwell Scotswood area. Suggest the policy is amended to clarify that the preferred location for any new convenience floorspace provision will only be permitted in Benwell Scotswood is Adelaide Terrace District Centre and that new retail provision will only be permitted in out-of-centre locations, where retail need is justified and the sequential test has been applied.	Not Sound
	62/467/CS12/-/7/7	WM Morrison	Supports the identification of five District Centres (Denton Park, Adelaide Terrace, Gosforth High Street, Kingston Park and Shields Road) which should provide the focus for local retail provision. Concerns regarding the amount of retail floorspace identified in the Benwell Scotswood area. Suggest the policy is amended to clarify that the preferred location for any new convenience floorspace provision will only be permitted in Benwell Scotswood is Adelaide Terrace District Centre and that new retail provision will only be permitted in out-of-centre locations, where retail need is justified and the sequential test has been applied.	Not Sound
Chapter 8	17/85/CS14/-/8/-	CPRE Newcastle Group	Sustainable Development: Support policies CS14	Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	17/86/CS15/-/8/-	CPRE Newcastle Group	Sustainable Development: Support policies CS15	Sound
	18/120/-/8.21/8/4B	Durham County Council	Concern raised over approach taken to mineral safeguarding, which appears contrary to MPS1 and RSS. Para. 8.21 Although recognising the potential reserves, it fails to delineate mineral safeguarding areas or provide appropriate policy. This omission undermines the soundness of the plan. Considers that the Core Strategy misinterprets emerging RSS policy (Policy 43 (e) and relies upon Green Belt boundaries. 8.21 needs to state that mineral safeguarding areas will be identified for coal and brick clay through the Site Specific Allocations DPD. Representation recommends the consideration of a safeguarding policy. The Core Strategy fails to meet the requirements of Policy 45 of the RSS	Not Sound
	18/121/-/8.21/8/4C	Durham County Council	Concern raised over approach taken to mineral safeguarding, which appears contrary to MPS1 and RSS. Para. 8.21 Although recognising the potential reserves, it fails to delineate mineral safeguarding areas or provide appropriate policy. This omission und	Not Sound
	18/122/-/8.22/8/4B	Durham County Council	8.22 needs to state that mineral safeguarding areas will be identified for coal and brick clay through the Site Specific Allocations DPD. Representation recommends the consideration of a safeguarding policy. The Core Strategy fails to meet the requirements of Policy 45 of the RSS	Not Sound
	18/123/-/8.22/8/4C	Durham County Council	8.22 needs to state that mineral safeguarding areas will be identified for coal and brick clay through the Site Specific Allocations DPD. Representation recommends the consideration of a safeguarding policy. The Core Strategy fails to meet the requirements of Policy 45 of the RSS	Not Sound
	18/124/CS16/-/8/4	Durham County Council	Focuses on Municipal Solid Waste, although acknowledged as important, there is no reference the management of other waste, the provision of which would ensure inline with sustainable waste management principles. Representation highlights the importance of all sub regions ensuring the adequate provision for waste management. The Core Strategy requires a clear demonstration opportunities for waste management facilitates, in particular it should demonstrate capacity to the next ten years as set out in the RSS. Support requirement for the submission of waste audits	Not Sound
	19/129/CS16/-/8/-	English Heritage	Policy should require that any demolition proposed is covered by waste audit.	Sound
	19/145/-/8.10/8/4B	English Heritage	Para should also convey need to explore reuse of existing buildings prior to considering demolition	Sound
	19/146/-/8.10/8/4C	English Heritage	Para should also convey need to explore reuse of existing buildings prior to considering demolition	Sound
	19/147/-/8.5/8/8	English Heritage	Support intent, but CS should explain how views will be defined and what actions will be taken to protect & enhance	Not Sound
	19/148/-/8.6/8/4B	English Heritage	The checklist fails to identify the registered battlefield at Newburn. Expresses concern about a recent approval for a large housing development within the boundary of the registered battlefield.	Not Sound
	19/149/-/8.6/8/4C	English Heritage	The checklist fails to identify the registered battlefield at Newburn. Expresses concern about a recent approval for a large housing development within the boundary of the registered battlefield.	Not Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	19/150/-/8.7/8/4B	English Heritage	Like biodiversity, the CS should protect the historic environment for its own sake as well as for its contribution to social & economic wellbeing.	Sound
	19/152/CS14/-/8/8	English Heritage	Policy fails to identify how management of the historic environment will be achieved	Not Sound
	21/159/CS15/-/8/4	Gladedale (Newcastle) Ltd	Object to the requirement to provide a minimum 10% of energy from renewable sources. Object to the requirement to achieve at least a level 3 rating of the Code for Sustainable Homes, on the basis that house builders are committed to securing zero carbon development including adoption of Code Level 3 by 2010, Code Level 4 by 2013 and Code Level 6 by 2016. Considers that "inclusion of this policy within the Core Strategy may result in the stifling of developments as a result of the implications of securing such requirements in advance of widely available technology".	Not Sound
	21/160/CS15/-/8/7	Gladedale (Newcastle) Ltd	Object to the requirement to provide a minimum 10% of energy from renewable sources. Object to the requirement to achieve at least a level 3 rating of the Code for Sustainable Homes, on the basis that house builders are committed to securing zero carbon development including adoption of Code Level 3 by 2010, Code Level 4 by 2013 and Code Level 6 by 2016. Considers that "inclusion of this policy within the Core Strategy may result in the stifling of developments as a result of the implications of securing such requirements in advance of widely available technology".	Not Sound
	21/161/CS15/-/8/9	Gladedale (Newcastle) Ltd	Object to the requirement to provide a minimum 10% of energy from renewable sources. Object to the requirement to achieve at least a level 3 rating of the Code for Sustainable Homes, on the basis that house builders are committed to securing zero carbon development including adoption of Code Level 3 by 2010, Code Level 4 by 2013 and Code Level 6 by 2016. Considers that "inclusion of this policy within the Core Strategy may result in the stifling of developments as a result of the implications of securing such requirements in advance of widely available technology".	Not Sound
	3/14/CS15/-/8/9	Ashdale Land and Property Co Ltd	Considers that specific standards should be set out in an SPD. Suggests that sustainability issues, including renewable energy, are often subject to changes in policy approach over relatively short periods of time. It is important that the Core Strategy does not include detailed requirements that could quickly become out-dated and irrelevant. In addition, the Core Strategy should not repeat national or regional planning policies.	Not Sound
	30/223/-/8.1/8/7	Natural England	This section of the Core Strategy overlooks the role of the natural environment in design, which should underpinned by natural environment evidence base.	Not Sound
	30/224/-/8.10/8/4B	Natural England	Paragraph 8.10- Need to recognise the complementing role of designing for biodiversity such as green roofs.	Not Sound
	30/225/-/8.11/8/4B	Natural England	Paragraph 8.11- Reference to the provision of renewable energy facilities should be embedded in policy	Not Sound
	30/226/-/8.12/8/4B	Natural England	Paragraph 8.12- Reference for the requirements for larger developer has no definition to the threshold beyond which this will apply.	Not Sound
	30/227/-/8.2/8/7	Natural England	8.2- Request for the first sentence to recognised biodiversity and geological conservation assets and the valued open space of the city. With the second sentence seeking enhancement and protection of the city's character.	Not Sound
	30/228/-/8.23/8/4B	Natural England	Need to recognise that hazards/ nuisances can have significant impacts on the natural environment, providing an example of impact of lighting.	Not Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	30/229/-/8.3/8/7	Natural England	8.3-reference to 'Biodiversity by design'	Not Sound
	30/230/-/8.5/8/7	Natural England	8.5-to include protection 'and enhancement' of urban and rural landscape quality', character and biodiversity'.	Not Sound
	30/231/-/8.7/8/7	Natural England	8.7- the paragraph acknowledges the key environmental aspects, clarity on reference to 'the same approach'. Request for refer to landscape 'character' assessment along with biodiversity and geodiversity assets.	Not Sound
	30/232/-/8.9/8/4B	Natural England	Paragraph 8.9 – need to recognise the need for the natural environment to adapt to the effects of climate change as set out in PPS1 Supplement on Climate Change.	Not Sound
	30/234/CS14/-/8/4B	Natural England	Does not recognise environmental aspects of biodiversity, geological conservation and landscape character as a key aspects of design,	Not Sound
	31/239/CS15/-/8/4B	Newcastle College	Wording not in conformity with PPS22 and RSS: Para 8 PPS22: should only apply where requirement is viable and not place an undue burden on developers Also, PPS1 requires evidence based understanding of the local feasibility and potential. Based on evidence, LPA should set targets, based on a clear rationale. Notes that the 10% requirement has been deleted from the latest changes proposed by Secretary of State to RSS (Feb 2008) Suggests changing wording from 'shall' to 'encourage developers' and include 'unless it can be demonstrated that this is not viable or feasible' In policy and provide details of evidence base in supporting text.	Not Sound
	31/240/CS15/-/8/4C	Newcastle College	Wording not in conformity with PPS22 and RSS: Para 8 PPS22: should only apply where requirement is viable and not place an undue burden on developers Also, PPS1 requires evidence based understanding of the local feasibility and potential. Based on evidence, LPA should set targets, based on a clear rationale. Notes that the 10% requirement has been deleted from the latest changes proposed by Secretary of State to RSS (Feb 2008) Suggests changing wording from 'shall' to 'encourage developers' and include 'unless it can be demonstrated that this is not viable or feasible' In policy and provide details of evidence base in supporting text.	Not Sound
	31/241/CS15/-/8/7	Newcastle College	Wording not in conformity with PPS22 and RSS: Para 8 PPS22: should only apply where requirement is viable and not place an undue burden on developers Also, PPS1 requires evidence based understanding of the local feasibility and potential. Based on evidence, LPA should set targets, based on a clear rationale. Notes that the 10% requirement has been deleted from the latest changes proposed by Secretary of State to RSS (Feb 2008) Suggests changing wording from 'shall' to 'encourage developers' and include 'unless it can be demonstrated that this is not viable or feasible' In policy and provide details of evidence base in supporting text.	Not Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	33/248/CS16/-/8/7	Newcastle Great Park Consortium	Objects to proposed requirement for a waste audit alongside design and access statements for new development. Considers requirement to be a duplication of information as will be addressed through either BREEAM assessment or Code for Sustainable Homes.	Not Sound
	33/259/CS14/-/8/7	Newcastle Great Park Consortium	Policy lack specificity and is too general, therefore has no meaning. Should be assimilated into the accompanying text.	Not Sound
	33/260/CS15/-/8/7	Newcastle Great Park Consortium	Objects to the inclusion of the 10% minimum renewable energy supply. Should request a viable percentage determined on a site by site bases Object to the prescriptive nature of clause B) request to be amended to include measures to seek to achieve providing flexibility on a site by site basis	Not Sound
	34/274/-/8.11/8/-	Newcastle International Airport Ltd	Regarding large scale wind farms and airport safeguarding Request rewording to "...and airport safeguarding reasons, in the event that turbines show on the airport radar and no suitable mitigation is available"	Sound
	36/287/CS15/-/8/7	Newcastle University	The requirement for 10% of energy to be sourced onsite from renewable resources may be undeliverable. Add the words: 'subject to deliverability on tight City Centre sites and over riding planning controls arising from other priorities.'	Not Sound
	36/290/CS15/8.11/8/-	Newcastle University	There is no clear mechanism for delivery of district heating or energy schemes. The wording is not clear and do not communicate a firm intention. The wording should indicate a lead form the Council.	Not Sound
	36/291/-/8.5/8/7	Newcastle University	It is not appropriate that all new development contributes equally to the improvement of wider public realm through planning obligation. The emphasis should be on commercial development contributing to the improvement of the wider public realm. The Council should support the Universities in improving public realm on site by not seeking planning obligation contributions towards other public realm works recognising their contribution through travel planning.	Not Sound
	36/292/-/8.6/8/7	Newcastle University	It is inappropriate to introduce a blanket conservation approach to areas of widely varying quality in a bid for more planning control. The words 'as appropriate' should be added to qualify the approach to the historic environment. Character statements and management plans should only be prepared where this is appropriate and necessary	Not Sound
	37/295/CS15/-/8/9	Newcastle University Union Society	The policy should omit the need for alterations to existing buildings to meet sustainable construction standards, to allow for an individual organisation's circumstances and budgets	Not Sound
	39/194/CS14/-/8/-	NHS North of Tyne	Policy CS14 A sentence should be included to acknowledge the impact of the built environment on mental and emotional well being.	Sound
	4/21/CS16/-/8/2B	Atlantic Pictures	Waste management needs to reflect the waste hierarchy	Not Sound
	4/22/CS16/-/8/4A	Atlantic Pictures	Waste management needs to reflect the waste hierarchy	Not Sound
	4/23/CS16/-/8/4B	Atlantic Pictures	Waste management needs to reflect the waste hierarchy	Not Sound
	4/24/CS16/-/8/5	Atlantic Pictures	Waste management needs to reflect the waste hierarchy	Not Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	4/25/CS16/-/8/6	Atlantic Pictures	Waste management needs to reflect the waste hierarchy	Not Sound
	4/26/CS16/-/8/7	Atlantic Pictures	Waste management needs to reflect the waste hierarchy	Not Sound
	40/301/CS15/-/8/-	North East Assembly	Concern regarding statement of being unlikely to be able to support large scale wind farms,- recommended to retract this	Sound
	40/302/CS16/-/8/-	North East Assembly	Unclear to whether the Municipal Waste Management Strategy incorporates an assessment of waste management capacity gap	Sound
	40/306/-/8.13/8/-	North East Assembly	Should refer to total waste figures of the RSS	Sound
	42/310/-/8.13/8/4C	Northumberland County Council	Para should refer to Total Waste, not only Residual Waste in accordance with RSS	Not Sound
	42/311/CS16/-/8/7	Northumberland County Council	RSS (Policy 47) requires that LDF should assess the capacity gap for municipal solid waste and commercial and industrial waste. There appears to be no such support for Policy CS16. Need to establish current waste management capacity of City and compare this to capacity RSS requires NCC to provide for. Too much emphasis on location of waste sites within other DPDs. Policy fails to address commercial and industrial waste	Not Sound
	44/328/-/8.21/8/-	Northumberland Wildlife Trust	Paragraph 8.21 Need to account for application for an open cast mining in the greenbelt within Newcastle, this should be considered in the developing of Develop Control Policies DPD	Sound
	44/329/-/8.22/8/-	Northumberland Wildlife Trust	Paragraph 8.22 Need to account for application for an open cast mining in the greenbelt within Newcastle, this should be considered in the developing of Develop Control Policies DPD	Sound
	45/331/CS15/-/8/-	Northumbrian Water Ltd	Policy CS15 NWL supports the principles of this policy, however seeks the inclusion of water efficiency and conservation measures in new development.	Sound
	46/337/CS15/-/8/-	One NorthEast	A more neutral statement within this policy would allow for greater flexibility	Sound
	48/355/CS15/-/8/-	PropInvest	Support the Council's inclusion of a strong sustainability policy in the Core Strategy, but considers that some flexibility should be built into the policy to reflect potential practical and commercial difficulties. Suggest that ' the policy should be reviewed to allow the Council to take a pragmatic view as to the level of sustainability that can be achieved on a site by site basis'.	Sound
	53/413/-/8.6/8/4	St Andrew's Church	Paragraph does not provide flexibility in accordance with PPG15, the approach planned could result in stifling the creative reuse, maintenance and redevelopment of the historic environment.	Not Sound
	53/414/-/8.6/8/9	St Andrew's Church	Wording consider inflexible and puts at risk the delivery of regeneration across the City wherever site include elements of the historic environment	Not Sound
	54/402/CS16/8.17/8/4	Tesco Stores Limited	By requiring the submission of a waste audit the policy is more onerous than national policy in PPS10. Second paragraph should begin: "The Council will encourage the submission of a waste audit...."	Not Sound
	55/383/CS16/-/8/-	The Summerhill Society	We would wish further consideration of what is suitable and accessible for land for processing waste. More consideration is needed for the potential negative effects of local aspects of waste collection	Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	55/400/CS14/-/8/-	The Summerhill Society	The policy (as far as it goes) and related strategic objectives are generally supported. It should be more detailed and suggestions are presented for this. It must be pursued proactively. We need a clearer process for backing it up with local development briefs with local consultation.	Sound
	55/401/CS15/-/8/-	The Summerhill Society	We welcome that the policy will be supported by an SPD. It should cover potential conflicts between measures to improve energy efficiency and preservation and conservation concerns. The SPD should not cover ground already covered elsewhere. It should look at off site and communal systems	Sound
	59/435/CS15/-/8/9	University of Northumbria	Objects to the prescriptive threshold or % requirement.	Not Sound
	59/442/-/8.6/8/4	University of Northumbria	'protected, enhanced and managed' does not provide flexibility required by PPG15; could result in stifling creative re-use, maintenance and redevelopment of historic environment	Not Sound
	59/443/-/8.6/8/9	University of Northumbria	Wording too inflexible – puts at risk the delivery of regeneration; propose addition of '... wherever appropriate'	Not Sound
	60/456/CS15/-/8/9	Waitrose Ltd	Object to the specific standards that Policy CS15 sets out for developments of 10 or more dwellings, or 1,000 sq m in gross floor space. Suggest that detailed issues are dealt with in other development plan documents.	Not Sound
	8/57/-/8.6/8/6	Barnard	The emphasis in paragraph 8.6 on Historical Environment does not take account the need to be more flexible as suggested by PPG15 and felt could hamper the creative reuse and redevelopment of this historic but important retail/residential part of Newcastle	Not Sound
	8/58/-/8.6/8/7	Barnard	The emphasis in paragraph 8.6 on Historical Environment does not take account the need to be more flexible as suggested by PPG15 and felt could hamper the creative reuse and redevelopment of this historic but important retail/residential part of Newcastle	Not Sound
	9/69/CS14/-/8/8	Barratt Newcastle Ltd	Policy lacks specificity and is too general to such an extent that it has no meaning; it is a statement of intent rather than a policy and, as such, should be assimilated into the accompanying text.	Not Sound
	9/70/CS15/-/8/7	Barratt Newcastle Ltd	Objection to inclusion of reference to provision in developments of minimum of 10% of energy supply from embedded renewable resources. Emerging RSS indicates percentage should be ambitious but viable, reflecting both site specific economics and practicability. Objection to prescriptive nature of criterion b) should be amended to include measures to seek to achieve provision of flexibility on a site-by-site basis	Not Sound
	9/71/CS16/-/8/7	Barratt Newcastle Ltd	Object to requirement for waste audit to be submitted alongside design and access statements. For major developments this will be addressed through either CSH or BREEAM assessments. A separate submission represents potential duplication.	Not Sound
Chapter 9	12/92/CS17/-/9/-	British Horse Society	Request the inclusion of informal recreation at point g "Outdoor Sport and Informal Recreation"	Sound
	19/151/-/9.4/9/-	English Heritage	Support	Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	2/7/-/9.2/9/-	Allotment Working Group	Suggest revision to include allotment gardening,	Sound
	30/203/CS18/-/9/4B	Natural England	Criterion D should also include reference to developing and maintaining infrastructure for off road walking and cycle networks	Not Sound
	30/208/-/9.1/9/4B	Natural England	9.1-the names areas in this paragraph should ore the 'core' of a strategic green infrastructure network,	Not Sound
	30/209/-/9.1/9/7	Natural England	9.1-the names areas in this paragraph should ore the 'core' of a strategic green infrastructure network,	Not Sound
	30/210/-/9.10/9/4B	Natural England	9.10- This paragraph should be included as a policy, request to provide indication of how the concepts of Biodiversity Target Zones and Habitat Enhancement Areas will be taken forward.	Not Sound
	30/211/-/9.10/9/7	Natural England	9.10- This paragraph should be included as a policy, request to provide indication of how the concepts of Biodiversity Target Zones and Habitat Enhancement Areas will be taken forward.	Not Sound
	30/212/-/9.2/9/4B	Natural England	9.2- The Tyne and Wear Nature Conservation Strategy BAP should inform the Strategic Green Infrastructure Network.	Not Sound
	30/213/-/9.2/9/7	Natural England	9.2- The Tyne and Wear Nature Conservation Strategy BAP should inform the Strategic Green Infrastructure Network.	Not Sound
	30/214/-/9.3/9/4B	Natural England	9.3- preference Council to implement the Access to Natural Greenspace Targets (ANGST)	Not Sound
	30/215/-/9.3/9/7	Natural England	9.3- preference Council to implement the Access to Natural Greenspace Targets (ANGST)	Not Sound
	30/216/-/9.4/9/4B	Natural England	9.4- need to recognise the need to link areas of value for wildlife and biodiversity, to avoid isolated greenspaces.	Not Sound
	30/217/-/9.4/9/7	Natural England	9.4- need to recognise the need to link areas of value for wildlife and biodiversity, to avoid isolated greenspaces.	Not Sound
	30/218/-/9.7/9/4B	Natural England	Support priority to wildlife and biodiversity (para.9.7) Need to review Tyne and Wear Nature Conservation and used to underpin conservation aspects of the green infrastructure network.	Not Sound
	30/219/-/9.7/9/7	Natural England	Support priority to wildlife and biodiversity (para.9.7) Need to review Tyne and Wear Nature Conservation and used to underpin conservation aspects of the green infrastructure network.	Not Sound
	30/220/-/9.9/9/4B	Natural England	9.9- request that the biodiversity value of surplus space is assessed, with developers expected to contribute for losses in biodiversity.	Not Sound
	30/221/-/9.9/9/7	Natural England	9.9- request that the biodiversity value of surplus space is assessed, with developers expected to contribute for losses in biodiversity	Not Sound
	30/235/CS17/-/9/4B	Natural England	Suggestion to modify the first line to state of the policy, to include the council working with others to enhance and protect green infrastructure, making reference to the development of a green infrastructure strategy. Criterion e) request to modify to refer to semi- natural habitats that contribute to linking wildlife habitats. This should include off road walking and cycling routes.	Not Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	30/236/CS18/-/9/-	Natural England	Concerned the policy overlooks the many functions of green infrastructure. Natural England request the policy to address linked greenspace integrating related aspects, examples given include biodiversity, education and flood management. The policy should acknowledged and aims to delivers these aspects through development. Where required if habitat links are served by development alternative links should be sort.	Not Sound
	33/261/CS17/-/9/7	Newcastle Great Park Consortium	Notes intension to plan a green infrastructure and open space network, currently policy lack appropriate evidence base or standards. If a robust evidence base can not be achieved in advance of the Core Strategy then it is important to be incorporated in to a DPD to ensure scrutiny.	Not Sound
	33/262/CS18/-/9/7	Newcastle Great Park Consortium	Objects to the term 'appropriate provision' should be more specific as 'defined, justified and measurable provision'	Not Sound
	36/284/-/9.4/9/7	Newcastle University	There should be special recognition for public bodies such as those providing higher education in that they improve their own estates to which the public are welcome. They should be treated differently on planning obligations.	Not Sound
	36/288/CS18/9.8/9/7	Newcastle University	There should be special recognition for public non commercial bodies in that they provide publically accessible landscape schemes. They should be treated differently on planning obligations.	Not Sound
	44/324/CS17/-/9/-	Northumberland Wildlife Trust	Policy CS17 Welcomes paragraphs 9.7+9.10,	Sound
	44/325/CS18/-/9/-	Northumberland Wildlife Trust	Policy CS18: Welcomes paragraphs 9.7+9.10,	Sound
	44/326/CS18/-/9/-	Northumberland Wildlife Trust	CS18- Ensure that provision for wildlife and open space from development is not done at the expense of sites currently identified as being of importance	Sound
	45/333/-/9.2/9/-	Northumbrian Water Ltd	Green Infrastructure, sport and recreation: NWL would support paragraph 9.2 and the reference the opportunity that open space offer to deal with flood risk. The representation highlights the benefits of surface water management techniques such as SUDS.	Sound
	52/376/CS18/-/9/-	Sport England	No comments	Not sound
	52/379/CS17/-/9/-	Sport England	No comments	Sound
	52/380/CS17/-/9/7	Sport England	Need to mention the Local Needs Assessment, as this is a key document in identifying the location of new sport facilitates and protecting existing sport facilities.	Not Sound
	52/381/CS18/-/9/-	Sport England	Need to mention the Local Needs Assessment, as this is a key document in identifying the location of new sport facilitates and protecting existing sport facilities.	Not Sound
	55/398/CS17/-/9/-	The Summerhill Society	Disappointed that there is not more emphasis on high quality standards of maintenance. Support some reduction in quality if it helps quality of management of the remaining. Amenity and outlook aspects of open space must not be overlooked, though, and this cannot be provided off site through Section 106 contributions.	Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	55/399/CS18/-/9/-	The Summerhill Society	Disappointed that there is not more emphasis on high quality standards of maintenance. Support some reduction in quality if it helps quality of management of the remaining. Amenity and outlook aspects of open space must not be overlooked, though, and this cannot be provided off site through Section 106 contributions.	Sound
	56/409/CS17/-/9/4B	The Woodland Trust	Policy CS17 and supporting text Further specific detail is needed to give 'absolute protection' to irreplaceable semi-natural habitats such as ancient woodlands. Representation requests a strengthening in the wording to increase the protection to a	Not Sound
	56/469/CS18/-/9/4c	The Woodland Trust	Policy CS18 and supporting text Further specific detail is needed to give 'absolute protection' to irreplaceable semi-natural habitats such as ancient woodlands. Representation requests a strengthening in the wording to increase the protection to a	Not sound
	57/429/CS17/9.4/9/4A	Tyne and Wear Local Access Forum	Need to refer to Rights Of Way network	Not Sound
	59/444/CS17/-/9/4	University of Northumbria	An SPD should not set criteria and thresholds, as this would bypass the need for an examination in public of the evidence contrary to PPS12.	Not Sound
	9/72/CS17/-/9/7	Barratt Newcastle Ltd	Policy lacks appropriate evidence base or standards to ensure robustness and it is important that the plan for the development and funding of a green infrastructure network is subject to the scrutiny of a DPD.	Not Sound
	9/73/CS18/-/9/7	Barratt Newcastle Ltd	Object to lack of specificity in reference to 'appropriate provision', as opposed to defined, justified and measurable provision.	Not Sound
Chapter Annex 4	16/116/-/-/Annex 4/-	Cousins, Jim MP	Targets for tackling congestion and climate change are inadequate The plan therefore fails to acknowledged the extent of the need to address, lacks ambition and vision Traffic Mileage: Rather than limiting an increase, a decrease should be sought. Congestion: Rather than targeted growth a decreasing target on key corridors between 2010-11 is required. Public Transport: Need to review target to limit decreases in public transport patronage, a long term view is needed Cycling: percentage increase of cycling trip is far too low, questions ability to monitor any increase.	Sound
	19/153/-/-/Annex 4/7	English Heritage	A single indicator on heritage for Policy CS14 is not adequate; any indicator for heritage requires a target.	Not Sound
	19/154/-/-/Annex 4/8	English Heritage	A single indicator on heritage for Policy CS14 is not adequate; any indicator for heritage requires a target.	Not Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
Chapter Habitats Regulations Assessments	30/207/-/-/Habitats Regulations Assessment/4B	Natural England	The mitigating measures Identified by the Appropriate Assessment must be clearly integrated into the Core Strategy to ensure the integrity of a European Site. Suggestion to introduce more detailed appraisal at subsequent stages of the DPD, as undertaken in the South Tyneside Core Strategy. Representation stresses the need to address these recommendations in the appropriate subsequent DOD/SPD.	Not Sound
Chapter Key Diagram	3/20/-/-/Key Diagram/9	Ashdale Land and Property Co Ltd	Object to the identification of their sites at North Brunton within the Green Belt. Suggest localised Green Belt review be undertaken of North Brunton area be undertaken as part of the Land Allocations DPD, and that the proposed sites be removed from the existing Green Belt designation.	Not Sound
	30/238/-/-/Key Diagram/4B	Natural England	Should relate more directly to Policy CS1, setting out an integrated network. The representation raises concerns over accuracy, querying if the more westerly line is placed correctly.	Not Sound
	47/343/-/-/Key Diagram/7	O'Neil	Questioning why the key diagram was separate form the main Core Strategy document.	Not Sound
	47/344/-/-/Key Diagram/9	O'Neil	Questioning why the key diagram was separate form the main Core Strategy document.	Not Sound
	50/364/-/-/Key Diagram/7	Rotherford Estates	Questioning why the key diagram was separate form the main Core Strategy document.	Not Sound
	50/365/-/-/Key Diagram/9	Rotherford Estates	Questioning why the key diagram was separate form the main Core Strategy document.	Not Sound
	55/388/-/-/Key Diagram/-	The Summerhill Society	We reserve our position as to the inclusion of Summerhill in the area for housing market renewal and in the city centre strategic economic development area.	Sound
	61/459/-/-/Key Diagram/7	Weetslade Farms Ltd	Questioning why the key diagram was separate form the main Core Strategy document.	Not Sound
	61/460/-/-/Key Diagram/9	Weetslade Farms Ltd	Questioning why the key diagram was separate form the main Core Strategy document.	Not Sound
Chapter Not Stated				

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
	10/75/-/-/Not Stated/-	Bell Partnership	Considers document to be vague	Sound
	10/76/-/-/Not Stated/-	Bell Partnership	Transport: Suggestion to extend the metro to the outer housing areas _Council should subsidise public transport improvements	Sound
	17/87/-/-/Not Stated/-	CPRE Newcastle Group	General: Concerns about Council enforcing policies (e.g. Newcastle Great Park (NGP)), although the current document is positively acknowledged.	Sound
	23/173/-/-/Not Stated/-	Government Office for the North East	No representation to make	Sound
	29/198/-/-/Not Stated/-	National Offender Management Service	Highlight need for consideration of Prison related development with land allocations	Sound
	4/37/-/-/Not Stated/2B	Atlantic Pictures	Strategies, Plan and allocations in the DPD in many circumstances having considered the relevant alternatives are not founded on robust evidence.	Not Sound
	4/38/-/-/Not Stated/4A	Atlantic Pictures	Strategies, Plan and allocations in the DPD in many circumstances having considered the relevant alternatives are not founded on robust evidence.	Not Sound
	4/39/-/-/Not Stated/4B	Atlantic Pictures	Strategies, Plan and allocations in the DPD in many circumstances having considered the relevant alternatives are not founded on robust evidence.	Not Sound
	4/40/-/-/Not Stated/5	Atlantic Pictures	Strategies, Plan and allocations in the DPD in many circumstances having considered the relevant alternatives are not founded on robust evidence.	Not Sound
	4/41/-/-/Not Stated/6	Atlantic Pictures	Strategies, Plan and allocations in the DPD in many circumstances having considered the relevant alternatives are not founded on robust evidence.	Not Sound
	4/42/-/-/Not Stated/7	Atlantic Pictures	Strategies, Plan and allocations in the DPD in many circumstances having considered the relevant alternatives are not founded on robust evidence.	Not Sound
	40/307/-/-/Not Stated/-	North East Assembly	Supports the progress made on the Core Strategy General conformity to RPG 1 and the Secretary of States further Proposed Changes to the RSS	Sound
	44/322/-/-/Not Stated/-	Northumberland Wildlife Trust	Paragraphs 2.1-5.3: confusion over housing numbers, population growth figures and the need for a gross of 12,000. It appears to be a reliance on single occupation properties, which appears to conflict with Policy CS4 requirements of family sized homes.	Sound
	44/330/-/-/Not Stated/-	Northumberland Wildlife Trust	Paragraph 5.16/6.10-8.25-8.28 Ensure flood risk at site where outline permission is addressed at revised matter stages.	Sound
	45/334/-/-/Not Stated/-	Northumbrian Water Ltd	NWL supports the strategic objectives of the Core Strategy in particular 'to ensure that physical and social infrastructure is in place to support regeneration.	Sound
	51/371/-/-/Not Stated/-	Royal Mail Group plc	General satisfaction with the content.	Sound

Chapter	RefNo	Nme	Long summary	Sound or not
Chapter Sustainability Appraisal	46/341/-/-/Sustainability Appraisal/-	One NorthEast	Acknowledged and noted	Sound
Chapter Sustainability Appraisal	19/378/-/-/Sustainability Appraisal/-	English Heritage	<p>Para 5.2 :Refers to "uniform system" which will emerge from the impending heritage Protection reforms; This would be better described as "unified system"</p> <p>Measures applications for development involving listed buildings resulting in demolition/improvements; this should be expressed as number and %</p> <p>Also suggests 'number of applications approved contrary to Conservation Officer advice</p> <p>Para 5.4 Purpose of indicator unclear and is different from the quoted BVPI indicator.</p> <p>Para 5.6 The number of archaeological sites lost or damaged as a result of development should be expressed as a %</p> <p>English Heritage launched 'Heritage at Risk'</p> <p>Appendix E : States that the City has a strong sense of community and is proud of its history and cultural identity, yet heritage is not identified as a key issue</p> <p>Appendix C :Grade 1 and 2 should read Grade I and II</p>	Not stated